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## Executive Summary

In 2010, President Obama signed into law the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act that requires charitable hospitals to conduct a community health needs assessment and adopt strategies to meet community health needs identified through the assessment. The Center for Marketing and Opinion Research (CMOR) was selected to conduct the 2013 Community Health Needs Assessment for Trinity Hospital Twin City.

The first phase of the project consisted of a random sample telephone survey of 400 households within the hospital's service area. Telephone interviews were utilized in order to ensure representativeness of the population. This method also ensured that the correct number of interviews was completed to meet the targeted margin of error for statistical validity. The final sample size of 400 results in an overall sampling error of plus or minus $5 \%$ within a $95 \%$ confidence level. Questions were posed in the following subject areas: health related services and testing; healthcare, and health education; sources of health related information; health conditions; healthcare access; exercise and obesity; tobacco use; and health insurance.

The second phase of the project consisted of reviewing and analyzing secondary data sources to identify priority areas of concern when analyzed alongside survey data. CMOR gathered and compiled health and demographic data from various sources (outlined in the research methodology section). The final phase of the project consisted of a focus group of community leaders with public health experience.

After gathering the data, CMOR compiled the information, by source. In addition to the report narrative, data was visually displayed with charts and tables. When available, data was compared to information from previous years as well as other geographic areas such as Ohio or the United States as a whole. Analysis included survey data in conjunction with health and demographic data. Using all data available, CMOR identified priorities for the hospital.

The top three health-related issues identified as part of this Community Health Needs Assessment:


1. Access to primary care and specialists
2. High amount of risky behaviors, including obesity and tobacco use.
3. Cancer care and treatment

## Methodology

## Community Survey

The first phase of the project consisted of the collection of primary data utilizing a random sample telephone survey of 400 households within Trinity Hospital's target area. The general population statistics derived from the sample size provide a precision level of plus or minus $5 \%$ within a $95 \%$ confidence interval. Data Collection began on November 27 and ended on December 10, 2012 primarily between the hours of 5:15 pm and 9:15 pm. Some interviews were conducted during the day and on some weekends to accommodate respondent schedules. The interviews took an average of 19.32 minutes.

The final sample consisted of ten zip codes and one partial zip code covering individuals in Carroll, Guernsey, Harrison, and Tuscarawas Counties. One zip code (44663) was only partially sampled by Zip+4 codes that corresponded to certain postal carrier routes in the southern part of the zip code. The table below shows zip codes sampled and counties covered, along with the percent of the zip code that lies within each county.

| Zip code | County 1 | County 2 | County 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 43837 | Tuscarawas (95.1\%) | Guernsey (4.9\%) | --- |
| 43973 | Harrison (50.1\%) | Guernsey (47.9\%) | Tuscarawas (1.7\%) |
| 43988 | Harrison (74.9\%) | Carroll (25.1\%) | --- |
| 44621 | Tuscarawas (91.0\%) | Harrison (7.9\% | Carroll (1.1\%) |
| 44629 | Tuscarawas (100.0\%) | --- | --- |
| 44653 | Tuscarawas (100.0\%) | --- | --- |
| 44663 | Tuscarawas (100.0\%) | --- | --- |
| 44682 | Tuscarawas (100.0\%) | --- | --- |
| 44683 | Tuscarawas (91.5\%) | Harrison (8.5\%) | --- |
| 44695 | Harrison (56.9\%) | Carroll (43.1\%) | --- |
| 44699 | Harrison (72.2\%) | Tuscarawas (25.1\%) | Guernsey (2.8\%) |

## Focus Group

In addition to the survey, CMOR conducted one focus group on behalf of Trinity Hospital Twin City on January 24, 2013 with 19 area community leaders to explore community health needs and find ways to better meet those needs in the future. The agencies represented in the group included city government, the United Way, Trinity Hospital Twin City, local schools, the Department of Job and Family Services, First National Bank, YMCA, local churches, the Health Department, Red Cross, and the ADAMHS Board.

## Secondary Data

The second phase of this study consisted of reviewing and analyzing secondary data sources to identify priority areas of concern when analyzed alongside survey data. CMOR gathered and compiled health and demographic data from various sources (outlined below). After gathering the data, CMOR compiled the information, by category. When available, data was compared to other geographic areas such as Ohio. Using all data available, CMOR identified priorities for the county.

## Focus Areas:

| $\checkmark$ Diet and Exercise | $\checkmark$ | Tobacco use | $\checkmark$ | Birth |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\checkmark$ | Mental Health | $\checkmark$ | Preventative Health | $\checkmark$ |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ | Chronic conditions | $\checkmark$ | Health Insurance | $\checkmark$ |
| $\checkmark$ | General health | $\checkmark$ | Mortality | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ | Health care access |  | $\checkmark$ | Morbidity |

## Sources of Data:

$\checkmark 2011$ Stark County Community Health Needs Assessment
$\checkmark$ National Center for Health Statistics/Census Bureau
$\checkmark$ Ohio Department of Health- Vital Statistics
$\checkmark$ Ohio Department of Health- Released Hospital-by-Hospital Data
$\checkmark$ Ohio Department of Health- Ohio Public Health Data
$\checkmark$ Ohio Department of Health- Ohio Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
$\checkmark$ Ohio Department of Health- Healthy Ohio Community Profiles
$\checkmark$ Ohio Oral Health Surveillance System
$\checkmark$ CDC - National Diabetes Surveillance System
$\checkmark$ CDC-Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
$\checkmark$ CDC- National Vital Statistics
$\checkmark 2012$ Regional Health Needs Assessment Project- Ohio's Critical Access Hospitals funded by Ohio Department of Health's Rural Hospital Flex Program. The Eastern Region is comprised of Ohio Counties (Wayne, Tuscarawas, Carroll, Jefferson, Harrison, Guernsey, Belmont, Morgan, Noble, Monroe and Washington). There are five critical access hospitals in this area, Trinity Hospital Twin City being one of them.

## Priority Health Issues

This section presents a summary of the identified priority health issues for Trinity Hospital Twin City. For each area, data is given to support the identified issue. The priority health issues were identified after analyzing multiple sources of data as outlined in the Research Methodology section. The three areas were chosen because they were common themes that appeared throughout the multiple sources of data and there was enough support to identify each as an issue that could be incorporated into the final implementation plan.

The sources of data cited below include:

| Abbreviation | Full Name |
| :---: | :---: |
| RHNAP | Regional Health Needs Assessment Project |
| Community Survey | Trinity Hospital CHNA Community Survey |
| SCHA | Stark Community Health Assessment, 2011 |
| CLFG | Community Leaders Focus Group |

## ACCESS TO HEALTH CARE, PRIMARILY PRIMARY CARE DOCTORS AND SPECIALISTS

Inadequate access to healthcare has been linked to poorer health outcomes and complications from untreated conditions and greater reliance on emergency departments for urgent health care needs. A large portion of the hospital's service area has been designated a primary care Health Professional Shortage Area and/or a Medically Underserved Area for primary care.

## ISSUE: A large portion of county residents do not have health insurance and lack access to basic healthcare

 services as well as specialist services.
## healthcare access

- Community Survey: The Community Survey found that $22.2 \%$ of respondents thought that the affordability and lack of access to healthcare was the most important healthcare issue in the area.
- Community Survey: Nearly one-quarter, $23.7 \%$, of respondents receive most of their healthcare from someone other than a primary care or family doctor. These include a hospital or specialty clinic ( $8 \%$ ), the emergency room (6\%) and the VA hospital or clinic (4\%). Groups of respondents more likely to receive health care primarily at a place other than a family doctor include males (34.1\%), respondents ages 18 to $24(50.0 \%)$, respondents with an annual income less than $\$ 21,000$ ( $29.0 \%$ ), unemployed respondents (43.2\%), and those without health insurance (56.4\%).
- Community Survey: $11.6 \%$ of respondents reported there were healthcare services they needed in the past that they were unable to get. Groups most likely to not be able to get needed services include those who are not married ( $16.0 \%$ ), respondents with an annual income less than $\$ 25,000$ ( $19.6 \%$ ), those not employed ( $15.1 \%$ ), and respondents without insurance ( $26.8 \%$ ). The most common responses for services needed were specialists ( $17.8 \%$ ) and treatment for an illness not covered by insurance ( $15.6 \%$ ). The main reasons for not being able to get these services were that the needed service was too expensive (31.1\%) and they lacked health insurance (26.7\%).


## HEALTH INSURANCE

- Community Survey: The Community Survey found that $15.2 \%$ felt that the availability of health insurance was the most important healthcare issue. Slightly less, $9.8 \%$ felt that the affordability of health insurance was the most important healthcare issue.
- Community Survey: $14.3 \%$ of survey respondents indicated that they are without health insurance coverage. Demographic groups that had disproportionately high uninsured rates include those with an annual household income of $\$ 18,000$ or less ( $26.0 \%$ ), those who are not married (21.5\%), and respondents ages 18 to 24 (33.3\%).
- SCHA and Community Survey: Trinity's service area had a higher uninsured rate than Stark County- 14.3\% in Tuscarawas compared to 13.3\% in Stark.
- CLFG- The top critical community healthcare need that was identified by community leaders was the cost of healthcare in general and health insurance specifically.


## PRIMARY CARE PHYSICIANS

- RHNAP: The hospital is located in an area that has been designated a primary care Health Professional Shortage Area. Residents in these areas may lack access to primary care.
- RHNAP: Trinity Hospital Twin City is surrounded by areas where the population to Primary Care Physician ratio is more than 3,500 to 1 .
- Community Survey: One in six respondents reported that they do not have one person or group that they think of as their healthcare provider. Groups of respondents more likely to not have a doctor or healthcare provider include those ages 18-24 (43.5\%), respondents who are not married (19.3\%), and respondents without health insurance 41.1\%).
- CLFG- The second most critical community healthcare need that was identified by community leaders was the need for additional Primary Care Doctors in the area. The issues that were mentioned in this area include PCPs are not open to new or uninsured patients, PCPs not accepting medical cards, and long wait times to get an appointment.


## SPECIALISTS

- Community Survey: $12 \%$ of respondents indicated there were healthcare services that they needed in the past year that they were unable to get. The service needed most often was a medical specialist (17.8\%).
- Community Survey: $27 \%$ of respondents were unable to find a doctor or specialist that they needed locally or that they didn't have to wait more than 30 days to see. The doctors/specialists that were needed most often were dermatologists (13.6\%), orthopedist (12.6\%), and neurologists (9.7\%).


## HIGH PREVALENCE OF RISKY BEHAVIORS

Obesity and tobacco use are both linked to higher rates of diabetes, heart disease, stroke, certain cancers, and chronic respiratory conditions. Addressing these risk factors could significantly improve health outcomes for area residents.

## ISSUE: Residents of the hospital's service area have a high prevalence of "risky" behaviors such tobacco use,

 obesity and lack of exercise.
## STATE OF MIND

- CLFG- The third most critical community healthcare need that was identified by community leaders was the need to change the mentality of community residents in terms of making healthy decisions. THTC is facing an uphill battle in trying to change behaviors and habits of residents. Obstacles THTC faces: there are a lot of programs available but not the interest in attending the programs, the area lacks parks and walking/biking areas, and making healthy choices is not as easily accessible than making unhealthy ones.


## OBESITY AND EXERCISE

- Community Survey: A significant portion, 51.1\%, of respondents reported they were somewhat or very overweight. Groups of respondents that were more likely to report being overweight were females (57.0\%), those ages 35-44 (61.9\%), and married respondents (57.2\%). More than half of all respondents ( $60 \%$ ) and most overweight respondents ( $90 \%$ ) had tried to lose weight in the last 12 months.
- SCHA and Community Survey: The Trinity service area has a significantly higher percentage of overweight residents (51\%) than Stark County (44\%).
- Community Survey: Nearly a quarter of respondents, $24 \%$, indicated that they had not exercised in the past month. Of those who have exercised in the past month, $5 \%$ don't exercise at all in an average week while $14 \%$ exercise only once in a while. Groups of respondents most likely to have not exercised in the past month include females (29.3\%), those ages 65 and older (34.1\%), respondents with an annual income of $\$ 25,000$ or less ( $29.9 \%$ ), and respondents who are not employed (29.0\%).
- Community Survey: $90.2 \%$ of respondents felt that it was important to have weight loss programs available in the community ( $54 \%$ very important and $36.2 \%$ somewhat important).
- Community Survey: $58 \%$ of respondents were interested in a one hour free health-related seminar at a local hospital, $37.9 \%$ of these respondents were interested in a diabetes related topic, $20.7 \%$ were interested in weight loss and $9.8 \%$ were interested in nutrition.


## TOBACCO USE

- Community Survey: $84.3 \%$ of respondents felt that it was important to have smoking cessation programs available in the community ( $47 \%$ very important and $37 \%$ somewhat important).
- Community Survey: $31.8 \%$ of respondents in the service area smoke or use tobacco ( $27.0 \%$ every day and $4.8 \%$ some days).Groups of respondents who were more likely to smoke or use tobacco include males $(38.8 \%)$, respondents ages $25-34(40.4 \%)$ and $35-44(46 \%)$, those with an annual income of $\$ 25,000$ or less (42.3\%), and respondents without health insurance (28.4\%).


## GENERAL HEALTH

- Community Survey: $8.5 \%$ of all respondents rated their own health as poor or very poor. Groups of respondents that were more likely to rate their health as poor or very poor include: those who do not exercise (16.7\%), respondents who are not employed (14.5\%) and those with an annual income of less than \$25,000 (15.5\%).
- Community Survey: A substantial portion of respondents had been diagnosed with or had someone in their immediate family diagnosed with a health condition that is commonly associated with risky health behaviors. This includes:

O High blood pressure- 56.4\%
O High cholesterol- 48.4\%
O Respiratory conditions- 41.7\%
○ Diabetes-35.8\%
○ Heart Disease- 30.4\%

## CANCER CARE AND TREATMENT

Cancer is the second most common cause of death in the area. In addition to healthy lifestyle choices, early detection is key to preventing deaths from some of the leading forms of cancer.

## ISSUE: Cancer is the second most common cause of death in the area. In addition, community residents also

 identified it as a need in the community that needs to be addressed.- Community Survey: The Community Survey found that $8.5 \%$ of respondents thought that assistance with cancer and cancer treatment was the most important healthcare issue in the area.
- Community Survey: 58\% of respondents were interested in a one hour free health-related seminar at a local hospital, $28.1 \%$ of these respondents were interested in a cancer related topic.
- Community Survey and RHNAP: Early detection of cancer is directly related to improved outcomes.

O $77.5 \%$ of respondents in the service area had never had a skin cancer screening
O $27.5 \%$ of women ages $40+$ in the service area have not had a mammogram in the past 2 years, compared to $25.7 \%$ in the eastern Ohio region, and $24.4 \%$ in the U.S.

O $26.9 \%$ of women ages $50+$ in the service area have never had a colonoscopy, compared to $35.1 \%$ in the eastern Ohio region, and $34.7 \%$ in the U.S.

O $39.3 \%$ of adults ages $50+$ in the service area have not had a mammogram in the past 2 years, compared to $25.7 \%$ in the eastern Ohio region, and $24.4 \%$ in the U.S.

O 47.4\% of men ages 40+ in the service area have not had a PSA test in the past 2 years, compared to $43.3 \%$ in the eastern Ohio region, and $46.7 \%$ in the U.S.

- RHNAP: Cancer is the second leading cause of adult mortality in the Eastern Ohio region at 244.9 per 100,000 , significantly higher than 217.9 rate for Ohio.


## Survey Results- Community Survey

## OVERALL NEEDS AND HEALTH

| Summary: Overall Needs and Health |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Percentage | $N$ |
| Most Important Healthcare Issue (open ended, Top 3) | Affordability/Lack of healthcare |  | 22.2\% | $\mathrm{N}=316$ |
|  | Availability/Lack of health |  | 15.2\% |  |
|  | Affordability of health |  | 9.8\% |  |
| Interest in 1-hour seminars on health topics | Very Interested |  | 42.1\% | $\mathrm{N}=399$ |
|  | Somewhat Interested |  | 38.8\% |  |
|  | Not at all Interested |  | 19.0\% |  |
| Topics interested in (top 3) | Diabetes |  | 37.9\% | $\mathrm{N}=285$ |
|  | Cancer |  | 28.1\% |  |
|  | Heart issues/Health in |  | 22.1\% |  |
| How rate health | Excellent/Good |  | 70.8\% | $N=400$ |
|  | Fair |  | 20.8\% |  |
|  | Poor/Very Poor |  | 8.5\% |  |
| Summary: Events and Screening Awareness and Importance |  |  |  |  |
| Importance of Screening |  |  |  |  |
|  | Very | Somewhat | Not at all |  |
| Endocrinology/Diabetes | 72.7\% | 24.0\% | 3.3\% |  |
| Blood sugar check | 68.7\% | 28.1\% | 3.3\% |  |
| Urology or Bladder or Prostate | 61.6\% | 34.4\% | 4.0\% |  |
| Cholesterol check | 58.3\% | 36.7\% | 5.0\% |  |
| Weight loss programs | 54.0\% | 36.2\% | 9.8\% |  |
| Smoking cessation | 47.0\% | 37.3\% | 15.7\% |  |

## Healthcare Needs

First, all respondents were asked what they thought was the most important healthcare issue facing their community. This was an open ended question in which the respondent could give one answer. A significant percentage of respondents, $21 \%$, were unable to answer the question. Of those who were able to answer, nearly one-quarter, $22.2 \%$, felt the affordability and lack of healthcare was the most important healthcare issue in the community. The second largest healthcare need was the availability of health insurance, given by $15.2 \%$ of respondents. Significantly fewer, $9.8 \%$ of respondents thought the affordability of health insurance was the most important healthcare issue. Other healthcare issues, in order of importance, include cancer assistance and treatment ( $8.5 \%$ of respondents), healthcare for the elderly ( $6.3 \%$ ), weight loss programs/obesity ( $4.4 \%$ ), and lack of doctors (4.4\%).

| What do you think is the MOST important HEALTHCARE issue facing your community? |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# of Responses | \% of Responses |
| Affordability/Lack of healthcare | 70 | $22.2 \%$ |
| Availability/Lack of health insurance | 48 | $15.2 \%$ |
| Affordability of health insurance | 31 | $9.8 \%$ |
| Cancer Assistance/Treatment | 27 | $8.5 \%$ |
| Healthcare for the elderly/Medicare | 20 | $6.3 \%$ |
| Weight Loss Programs/Obesity issues | 14 | $4.4 \%$ |
| Lack of Doctors/Good Doctors | 14 | $4.4 \%$ |
| The need for cleaner water | 8 | $2.5 \%$ |
| Not Enough Hospitals/Good Hospitals | 7 | $2.2 \%$ |
| Heart disease/issues | 7 | $2.2 \%$ |
| High cost of prescription drugs | 7 | $2.2 \%$ |
| Healthcare for Veterans | 6 | $1.9 \%$ |
| Diabetes | 5 | $1.6 \%$ |
| Pediatric care | 5 | $1.6 \%$ |
| Preventative care | 5 | $1.6 \%$ |
| People abusing the system | 4 | $1.3 \%$ |
| Lack of local gyms/Physical Fitness | 3 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Mental Healthcare | 3 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Standardized Healthcare | 3 | $0.9 \%$ |
| The uninsured | 3 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Smoking issues | 2 | $0.6 \%$ |
| MISCELLANEOUS | 24 | $7.6 \%$ |
|  | 316 | $(n=316)$ |

## Importance of Health Events and Screenings

Next, all respondents were read a list of six healthcare services and asked how important it was to have it available in the community including cholesterol check, blood sugar check, smoking cessation programs, weight loss programs, urology or bladder or prostate health, and Endocrinology or care for diabetes.

## Importance of Healthcare Programs or Services



Summary: Events and Screening Importance

|  | Very | Somewhat | Not at all |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Endocrinology or Care for Diabetes | $72.7 \%$ | $24.0 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| Blood sugar check | $68.7 \%$ | $28.1 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| Urology or Bladder or Prostate Health | $61.6 \%$ | $34.4 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| Cholesterol check | $58.3 \%$ | $36.7 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| Weight loss programs | $54.0 \%$ | $36.2 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ |
| Smoking cessation | $47.0 \%$ | $37.3 \%$ | $15.7 \%$ |

## Endocrinology or Care for Diabetes

The majority of respondents, $72.7 \%$, thought it was very important to have Endocrinology or care for diabetes available in their community and an additional $24.0 \%$ thought it was somewhat important (combined importance of $96.7 \%$ ). Groups more likely to think cancer screening was very important include females and those with health insurance.

## Blood Sugar Checks

More than two-thirds of respondents, $68.7 \%$, thought it was very important to have blood sugar checks available in their community and an additional $28.1 \%$ thought it was somewhat important (combined importance of $96.7 \%$ ). Groups of respondents that were more likely to think blood sugar checks were very important include females and those who are married.

## Urology or Bladder or Prostate Health

Nearly two-thirds, $61.6 \%$, thought it was very important to have Urology, Bladder, or Prostate Health programs available in their community and an additional $34.4 \%$ thought it was somewhat important (combined importance of $96.0 \%$ ). Groups more likely to think diabetic screening was very important include respondents with an annual income less than $\$ 50,000$ and those with a high school diploma or less education.

## Cholesterol Check

More than half, $58.3 \%$, thought it was very important to have cholesterol checks available in their community and an additional $36.7 \%$ thought it was somewhat important (combined importance of $95.0 \%$ ). Groups more likely to think cholesterol checks were very important include females, those with an annual income under $\$ 25,000$ and respondents with a high school diploma or less education.

## Weight Loss Programs

More than half, $54.0 \%$, thought it was very important to have weight loss programs available in their community and an additional $36.2 \%$ thought it was somewhat important (combined importance of $90.2 \%$ ). Groups more likely to think weight loss programs were very important include females and married respondents.

## Smoking Cessation

Less than half of respondents, $47.0 \%$, thought it was very important to have smoking cessation programs available in their community and an additional $37.3 \%$ thought it was somewhat important (combined importance of $84.3 \%$ ). Groups of respondents that were more likely to think smoking cessation programs were very important include females.

Importance of Endocrinology/Care for Diabetes by select demographics

*Denotes a Statistically Significant Relationship

| Importance of Blood Sugar Checks by select demographics |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Very Important | Somewhat Important | Not at all Important |
| All respondents |  | 68.7\% | 28.1\% | 3.3\% |
| Demographic | Subgroup |  |  |  |
| Gender* | Male | 60.5\% | 33.9\% | 5.6\% |
|  | Female | 75.2\% | 23.4\% | 1.4\% |
| Age | 18-24 | 65.2\% | 30.4\% | 4.3\% |
|  | 25-34 | 59.6\% | 38.3\% | 2.1\% |
|  | 35-44 | 67.7\% | 29.0\% | 3.2\% |
|  | 45-54 | 65.4\% | 30.8\% | 3.8\% |
|  | 55-64 | 74.2\% | 24.7\% | 1.0\% |
|  | 65 and over | 71.6\% | 22.7\% | 5.7\% |
| Marital Status* | Married | 71.6\% | 26.7\% | 1.6\% |
|  | Not married | 64.2\% | 29.8\% | 6.0\% |
| Children in Home | Yes | 65.0\% | 32.5\% | 2.6\% |
|  | No | 70.1\% | 26.3\% | 3.6\% |
| Income | Under \$25,000 | 74.2\% | 20.6\% | 5.2\% |
|  | \$25-\$49,999 | 70.8\% | 26.4\% | 2.8\% |
|  | \$50-\$74,999 | 67.1\% | 30.0\% | 2.9\% |
|  | \$75-\$99,999 | 51.6\% | 45.2\% | 3.2\% |
|  | \$100,000 or more | 60.9\% | 34.8\% | 4.3\% |
| Employment Status | Employed | 66.4\% | 30.8\% | 2.8\% |
|  | Not employed | 71.5\% | 24.7\% | 3.8\% |
| Education <br> Attainment | High School Grad or less | 74.4\% | 23.7\% | 1.9\% |
|  | Some college/Associate's | 63.3\% | 31.2\% | 5.5\% |
|  | College Grad or more | 61.3\% | 35.0\% | 3.8\% |
| Insured | Yes | 69.1\% | 27.9\% | 2.9\% |
|  | No | 66.7\% | 28.1\% | 5.3\% |

*Denotes a Statistically Significant Relationship

| Importance of Urology/Bladder/Prostate Health by select demographics |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Very Important | Somewhat Important | Not at all Important |
| All respondents |  | 61.6\% | 34.4\% | 4.0\% |
| Demographic | Subgroup |  |  |  |
| Gender | Male | 59.3\% | 35.6\% | 5.1\% |
|  | Female | 63.3\% | 33.5\% | 3.2\% |
| Age | 18-24 | 65.2\% | 34.8\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 25-34 | 61.7\% | 31.9\% | 6.4\% |
|  | 35-44 | 55.6\% | 41.3\% | 3.2\% |
|  | 45-54 | 59.0\% | 34.6\% | 6.4\% |
|  | 55-64 | 64.2\% | 34.7\% | 1.1\% |
|  | 65 and over | 64.8\% | 29.5\% | 5.7\% |
| Marital Status | Married | 62.6\% | 33.3\% | 4.1\% |
|  | Not married | 61.3\% | 34.7\% | 4.0\% |
| Children in Home | Yes | 57.6\% | 38.1\% | 4.2\% |
|  | No | 63.4\% | 32.6\% | 3.9\% |
| Income* | Under \$25,000 | 70.1\% | 25.8\% | 4.1\% |
|  | \$25-\$49,999 | 65.7\% | 29.4\% | 4.9\% |
|  | \$50-\$74,999 | 52.2\% | 40.6\% | 7.2\% |
|  | \$75-\$99,999 | 48.4\% | 51.6\% | 0.0\% |
|  | \$100,000 or more | 41.7\% | 58.3\% | 0.0\% |
| Employment Status | Employed | 59.7\% | 36.0\% | 4.3\% |
|  | Not employed | 63.8\% | 32.4\% | 3.8\% |
| Education <br> Attainment* | High School Grad or less | 68.0\% | 28.6\% | 3.4\% |
|  | Some college/Associate's | 60.6\% | 35.8\% | 3.7\% |
|  | College Grad or more | 46.3\% | 47.5\% | 6.3\% |
| Insured | Yes | 60.8\% | 36.0\% | 3.2\% |
|  | No | 66.7\% | 24.6\% | 8.8\% |

*Denotes a Statistically Significant Relationship

| Importance of Cholesterol Checks by select demographics |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Very Important | Somewhat Important | Not at all Important |
| All respondents |  | 58.3\% | 36.7\% | 5.0\% |
| Demographic | Subgroup |  |  |  |
| Gender* | Male | 46.9\% | 45.8\% | 7.3\% |
|  | Female | 67.4\% | 29.4\% | 3.2\% |
| Age | 18-24 | 47.8\% | 43.5\% | 8.7\% |
|  | 25-34 | 46.8\% | 46.8\% | 6.4\% |
|  | 35-44 | 50.0\% | 45.2\% | 4.8\% |
|  | 45-54 | 60.3\% | 33.3\% | 6.4\% |
|  | 55-64 | 60.8\% | 38.1\% | 1.0\% |
|  | 65 and over | 67.8\% | 25.3\% | 6.9\% |
| Marital Status | Married | 60.5\% | 35.4\% | 4.1\% |
|  | Not married | 55.3\% | 38.0\% | 6.7\% |
| Children in Home | Yes | 52.6\% | 40.5\% | 6.9\% |
|  | No | 60.5\% | 35.2\% | 4.3\% |
| Income* | Under \$25,000 | 65.6\% | 26.0\% | 8.3\% |
|  | \$25-\$49,999 | 59.0\% | 38.2\% | 2.8\% |
|  | \$50-\$74,999 | 61.4\% | 31.4\% | 7.1\% |
|  | \$75-\$99,999 | 41.9\% | 54.8\% | 3.2\% |
|  | \$100,000 or more | 39.1\% | 56.5\% | 4.3\% |
| Employment Status | Employed | 56.4\% | 38.9\% | 4.7\% |
|  | Not employed | 60.5\% | 34.1\% | 5.4\% |
| Education <br> Attainment* | High School Grad or less | 64.1\% | 34.0\% | 1.9\% |
|  | Some college/Associate's | 56.0\% | 33.0\% | 11.0\% |
|  | College Grad or more | 46.3\% | 48.8\% | 5.0\% |
| Insured | Yes | 57.8\% | 37.5\% | 4.7\% |
|  | No | 61.4\% | 33.3\% | 5.3\% |

*Denotes a Statistically Significant Relationship

| Importance of Weight Loss Programs by select demographics |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Very Important | Somewhat Important | Not at all Important |
| All respondents |  | 54.0\% | 36.2\% | 9.8\% |
| Demographic | Subgroup |  |  |  |
| Gender* | Male | 51.4\% | 33.3\% | 15.3\% |
|  | Female | 56.1\% | 38.5\% | 5.4\% |
| Age | 18-24 | 65.2\% | 17.4\% | 17.4\% |
|  | 25-34 | 46.8\% | 38.3\% | 14.9\% |
|  | 35-44 | 58.7\% | 38.1\% | 3.2\% |
|  | 45-54 | 56.4\% | 34.6\% | 9.0\% |
|  | 55-64 | 46.9\% | 44.8\% | 8.3\% |
|  | 65 and over | 57.5\% | 31.0\% | 11.5\% |
| Marital Status* | Married | 55.7\% | 37.3\% | 7.0\% |
|  | Not married | 51.7\% | 33.6\% | 14.8\% |
| Children in Home | Yes | 52.1\% | 35.9\% | 12.0\% |
|  | No | 54.6\% | 36.4\% | 8.9\% |
| Income | Under \$25,000 | 59.4\% | 27.1\% | 13.5\% |
|  | \$25-\$49,999 | 54.9\% | 38.9\% | 6.3\% |
|  | \$50-\$74,999 | 38.6\% | 48.6\% | 12.9\% |
|  | \$75-\$99,999 | 54.8\% | 29.0\% | 16.1\% |
|  | \$100,000 or more | 58.3\% | 37.5\% | 4.2\% |
| Employment Status | Employed | 54.7\% | 34.4\% | 10.8\% |
|  | Not employed | 53.8\% | 37.5\% | 8.7\% |
| Education <br> Attainment | High School Grad or less | 52.9\% | 37.4\% | 9.7\% |
|  | Some college/Associate's | 60.2\% | 28.7\% | 11.1\% |
|  | College Grad or more | 49.4\% | 42.0\% | 8.6\% |
| Insured | Yes | 55.5\% | 35.1\% | 9.4\% |
|  | No | 45.6\% | 43.9\% | 10.5\% |

*Denotes a Statistically Significant Relationship

| Importance of Smoking Cessation Programs by select demographics |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Very Important | Somewhat Important | Not at all Important |
| All respondents |  | 47.0\% | 37.3\% | 15.7\% |
| Demographic | Subgroup |  |  |  |
| Gender* | Male | 40.2\% | 39.1\% | 20.7\% |
|  | Female | 52.3\% | 35.9\% | 11.8\% |
| Age | 18-24 | 45.5\% | 31.8\% | 22.7\% |
|  | 25-34 | 42.6\% | 29.8\% | 27.7\% |
|  | 35-44 | 46.0\% | 44.4\% | 9.5\% |
|  | 45-54 | 41.6\% | 41.6\% | 16.9\% |
|  | 55-64 | 47.4\% | 43.2\% | 9.5\% |
|  | 65 and over | 55.8\% | 26.7\% | 17.4\% |
| Marital Status | Married | 48.5\% | 38.2\% | 13.3\% |
|  | Not married | 43.9\% | 35.8\% | 20.3\% |
| Children in Home | Yes | 46.2\% | 34.2\% | 19.7\% |
|  | No | 47.5\% | 38.4\% | 14.1\% |
| Income | Under \$25,000 | 51.6\% | 32.6\% | 15.8\% |
|  | \$25-\$49,999 | 49.3\% | 39.4\% | 11.3\% |
|  | \$50-\$74,999 | 39.1\% | 39.1\% | 21.7\% |
|  | \$75-\$99,999 | 35.5\% | 38.7\% | 25.8\% |
|  | \$100,000 or more | 45.8\% | 45.8\% | 8.3\% |
| Employment <br> Status | Employed | 44.0\% | 37.3\% | 18.7\% |
|  | Not employed | 50.3\% | 37.2\% | 12.6\% |
| Education <br> Attainment | High School Grad or less | 48.0\% | 36.1\% | 15.8\% |
|  | Some college/Associate's | 44.4\% | 36.1\% | 19.4\% |
|  | College Grad or more | 48.1\% | 40.7\% | 11.1\% |
| Insured | Yes | 48.4\% | 35.6\% | 16.0\% |
|  | No | 38.2\% | 49.1\% | 12.7\% |

*Denotes a Statistically Significant Relationship

Respondents were also asked how interested they would be in free one-hour seminars on various topics provided by a hospital. More than 1 in 4 respondents, $42 \%$, reported they would be very interested in the seminars and an additional $39 \%$ said they would be somewhat interested. Less than one-fifth, $19 \%$, of respondents said that they were not at all interested in the seminars. Females were more interested than males in the seminars. There were no additional statistical significances among demographic groupings.

## Interest in One-Hour Health Related Seminar

$\square$ Very Interested $\quad$ Somewhat Interested $\quad$ Not at all Interested


Next, those who were very or somewhat interested in the free seminars, $81 \%$ of all respondents, were asked a follow-up question as to what health related topics they would be most interested in. This was an open ended question in which the respondent could give multiple responses. The health related topic that respondents are most interested in is diabetes, given by $37.9 \%$ of those who were interested in the seminars. Other health related topics that respondents were interested in included, in order of importance, cancer (28.1\% of respondents), general health issues (22.1\%), weight loss (20.7\%), and nutrition (9.8\%).

| What health related topics would you be MOST interested in? |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# of 1st <br> Responses | \% of 1st <br> Responses | \# of All Responses | \% of Answering <br> Respondents |
| Diabetes | 63 | 22.1\% | 108 | 37.9\% |
| Cancer | 48 | 16.8\% | 80 | 28.1\% |
| Heart issues/Health in General | 35 | 12.3\% | 63 | 22.1\% |
| Weight loss | 30 | 10.5\% | 59 | 20.7\% |
| Nutrition | 16 | 5.6\% | 28 | 9.8\% |
| Blood pressure | 14 | 4.9\% | 25 | 8.8\% |
| Exercise/Strength training | 9 | 3.2\% | 23 | 8.1\% |
| Cholesterol | 6 | 2.1\% | 17 | 6.0\% |
| General Healthcare | 9 | 3.2\% | 13 | 4.6\% |
| Women's healthcare | 4 | 1.4\% | 11 | 3.9\% |
| Preventative care | 3 | 1.1\% | 9 | 3.2\% |
| Prostate/Men's healthcare | 4 | 1.4\% | 9 | 3.2\% |
| Children s healthcare | 2 | 0.7\% | 8 | 2.8\% |
| Digestion | 2 | 0.7\% | 8 | 2.8\% |
| Smoking | 4 | 1.4\% | 7 | 2.5\% |
| Lung disease/Respiratory issues | 1 | 0.4\% | 7 | 2.5\% |
| Bone/Calcium | 0 | 0.0\% | 6 | 2.1\% |
| Urinary issues | 3 | 1.1\% | 6 | 2.1\% |
| Alzheimer's Disease | 2 | 0.7\% | 5 | 1.8\% |
| Senior healthcare | 2 | 0.7\% | 5 | 1.8\% |
| Arthritis | 2 | 0.7\% | 5 | 1.8\% |
| Stroke | 2 | 0.7\% | 4 | 1.4\% |
| Mental health | 2 | 0.7\% | 4 | 1.4\% |
| Stress/Anxiety | 1 | 0.4\% | 4 | 1.4\% |
| Sleep Management | 0 | 0.0\% | 3 | 1.1\% |
| Asthma | 2 | 0.7\% | 3 | 1.1\% |
| Fibromyalgia | 1 | 0.4\% | 2 | 0.7\% |
| Eye Care | 0 | 0.0\% | 2 | 0.7\% |
| COPD | 1 | 0.4\% | 2 | 0.7\% |
| Miscellaneous | 17 | 6.0\% | 42 | 14.7\% |
| Total | 285 | ( $\mathrm{n}=285$ ) | 568 | ( $\mathrm{n}=285$ ) |

Interest in Seminars by select demographics
Very
Somewhat
Not at all

|  |  | interested | interested | interested |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All respondents |  | 42.1\% | 38.8\% | 19.0\% |
| Demographic | Subgroup |  |  |  |
| Gender* | Male | 34.8\% | 43.8\% | 21.3\% |
|  | Female | 48.0\% | 34.8\% | 17.2\% |
| Age | 18-24 | 39.1\% | 47.8\% | 13.0\% |
|  | 25-34 | 38.3\% | 40.4\% | 21.3\% |
|  | 35-44 | 36.5\% | 50.8\% | 12.7\% |
|  | 45-54 | 41.0\% | 37.2\% | 21.8\% |
|  | 55-64 | 50.0\% | 33.3\% | 16.7\% |
|  | 65 and over | 40.9\% | 35.2\% | 23.9\% |
| Marital Status | Married | 40.7\% | 42.0\% | 17.3\% |
|  | Not married | 44.4\% | 33.8\% | 21.9\% |
| Children in Home | Yes | 45.8\% | 39.8\% | 14.4\% |
|  | No | 40.7\% | 38.2\% | 21.1\% |
| Income | Under \$25,000 | 44.3\% | 34.0\% | 21.6\% |
|  | \$25-\$49,999 | 39.9\% | 43.4\% | 16.8\% |
|  | \$50-\$74,999 | 45.7\% | 34.3\% | 20.0\% |
|  | \$75-\$99,999 | 38.7\% | 45.2\% | 16.1\% |
|  | \$100,000 or more | 41.7\% | 45.8\% | 12.5\% |
| Employment Status | Employed | 42.9\% | 41.0\% | 16.0\% |
|  | Not employed | 41.6\% | 36.2\% | 22.2\% |
| Education <br> Attainment | High School Grad or less | 39.1\% | 39.1\% | 21.7\% |
|  | Some college/Associate's | 52.3\% | 32.1\% | 15.6\% |
|  | College Grad or more | 37.5\% | 45.0\% | 17.5\% |
| Insured | Yes | 42.9\% | 38.2\% | 18.8\% |
|  | No | 36.8\% | 42.1\% | 21.1\% |

*Denotes a Statistically S/gnificant Relationship

## General Health

Respondents were asked to describe their health on a five-point scale: excellent, good, fair, poor or very poor. One-fifth of respondents, $20 \%$ rated their health as excellent. More than half of respondents, $51 \%$, rated their health as good. Combined, $71 \%$ had a favorable rating of their health. Another $21 \%$ of respondents rated their health as fair. Only a small percentage of respondents, $9 \%$, had an unfavorable rating of their health, with $7 \%$ rating their health as poor and $2 \%$ as very poor.

## Self-described Health



There were several demographic differences regarding how a person rated their health. Groups of respondents more likely to rate their health as excellent or good include respondents with an annual income of $\$ 50,000$ or more, those who are employed, respondents who have exercised in the past month, and those who have private or employer paid insurance.

Self-described Health by select demographics

|  |  | Excellent/ Good | Fair | Poor/ Very poor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All respondents |  | 70.8\% | 20.8\% | 8.5\% |
| Demographic | Subgroup |  |  |  |
| Gender | Male | 73.6\% | 17.4\% | 9.0\% |
|  | Female | 68.5\% | 23.4\% | 8.1\% |
| Age | 18-24 | 87.0\% | 8.7\% | 4.3\% |
|  | 25-34 | 78.7\% | 14.9\% | 6.4\% |
|  | 35-44 | 76.2\% | 23.8\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 45-54 | 62.8\% | 25.6\% | 11.5\% |
|  | 55-64 | 67.0\% | 23.7\% | 9.3\% |
|  | 65 and over | 69.3\% | 18.2\% | 12.5\% |
| Marital Status | Married | 74.6\% | 18.4\% | 7.0\% |
|  | Not married | 64.2\% | 24.5\% | 11.3\% |
| Children in Home | Yes | 77.1\% | 16.9\% | 5.9\% |
|  | No | 68.0\% | 22.4\% | 9.6\% |
| Income* | Under \$25,000 | 54.6\% | 29.9\% | 15.5\% |
|  | \$25-\$49,999 | 72.2\% | 22.9\% | 4.9\% |
|  | \$50-\$74,999 | 82.9\% | 10.0\% | 7.1\% |
|  | \$75-\$99,999 | 77.4\% | 16.1\% | 6.5\% |
|  | \$100,000 or more | 79.2\% | 16.7\% | 4.2\% |
| Employment Status* | Employed | 81.6\% | 15.1\% | 3.3\% |
|  | Not employed | 59.1\% | 26.3\% | 14.5\% |
| Education <br> Attainment | High School Grad or less | 67.6\% | 22.2\% | 10.1\% |
|  | Some college/Associate's | 67.0\% | 23.9\% | 9.2\% |
|  | College Grad or more | 84.0\% | 13.6\% | 2.5\% |
| Insured | Yes | 71.6\% | 19.6\% | 8.8\% |
|  | No | 64.9\% | 28.1\% | 7.0\% |

[^1]Self-described Health by select behavior questions

|  |  | Excellent/ Good | Fair | Poor/ <br> Very poor | Valid Responses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All respondents |  | 70.8\% | 20.8\% | 8.5\% | ( $\mathrm{N}=400$ ) |
| Demographic | Subgroup |  |  |  |  |
| Exercised in past month* | Yes | 75.7\% | 18.4\% | 5.9\% | ( $\mathrm{N}=400$ ) |
|  | No | 55.2\% | 28.1\% | 16.7\% |  |
| How often exercise in an average week* | Not at all | 68.8\% | 18.8\% | 12.5\% | ( $\mathrm{N}=304$ ) |
|  | 1-2 times | 68.5\% | 27.4\% | 4.1\% |  |
|  | 3-4 times | 86.2\% | 12.6\% | 1.1\% |  |
|  | 5-7 times | 82.4\% | 10.6\% | 7.1\% |  |
|  | Every once in awhile | 55.8\% | 30.2\% | 14.0\% |  |
| Weight* | Overweight | 64.7\% | 25.5\% | 9.8\% | ( $\mathrm{N}=399$ ) |
|  | About right | 79.9\% | 13.8\% | 6.3\% |  |
|  | Underweight | 57.1\% | 28.6\% | 14.3\% |  |
| Has Health Insurance | Yes | 71.6\% | 19.6\% | 8.8\% | ( $\mathrm{N}=398$ ) |
|  | No | 64.9\% | 28.1\% | 7.0\% |  |
| Type of Insurance* | Not insured | 64.9\% | 28.1\% | 7.0\% | ( $\mathrm{N}=398$ ) |
|  | Employer paid | 79.6\% | 16.6\% | 3.9\% |  |
|  | Private insurance | 82.1\% | 15.4\% | 2.6\% |  |
|  | Medicare or Medicaid | 55.8\% | 24.8\% | 19.5\% |  |
| Unable to get needed healthcare services* | Yes | 56.5\% | 23.9\% | 19.6\% | ( $\mathrm{N}=398$ ) |
|  | No | 72.7\% | 20.2\% | 7.1\% |  |
| Question: Generally, how would you describe your health? |  |  |  |  |  |

*Denotes a Statistically Significant Relationship

## HEALTH CONDITIONS, TREATMENT, TESTS AND EXAMS

The next section focused on specific health conditions. The conditions included diabetes, respiratory conditions, high cholesterol, high blood pressure, vision impairment and heart disease. In addition, respondents were asked if they ever had a series of tests including mammograms (females only), PSA test (males only), colonoscopy, skin cancer screening, and blood cholesterol check.

Health Conditions Been Diagnosed with (or Immediate Family Member)


| Summary: Have Health Conditions |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No |
| High blood pressure | $56.4 \%$ | $43.6 \%$ |
| High cholesterol | $48.4 \%$ | $51.6 \%$ |
| Respiratory conditions | $41.7 \%$ | $58.3 \%$ |
| Diabetes | $35.8 \%$ | $64.2 \%$ |
| Vision Impairment | $31.6 \%$ | $68.4 \%$ |
| Heart disease | $30.4 \%$ | $69.6 \%$ |

## High Blood Pressure

More than half of respondents, $56.4 \%$ had been diagnosed with high blood pressure or had someone in their immediate family diagnosed with the condition. Demographic groups that were more likely to have been diagnosed with high blood pressure include respondents ages 55 and over, respondents without children in the home, and those who are not currently employed.

## High Cholesterol

Nearly half of respondents, $48.4 \%$, had been diagnosed with high cholesterol or had someone in their immediate family diagnosed with the condition. Demographic groups that were more likely to have been diagnosed with high cholesterol include respondents ages 65 and over, respondents without children in the home, and those who are not currently employed.

## Respiratory Condition

More than one-third of respondents, $41.7 \%$, had been diagnosed with a respiratory condition such as asthma, emphysema, or COPD or had someone in their immediate family diagnosed with the condition. Demographic groups that were more likely to have been diagnosed with a respiratory condition include respondents who are not employed.

## Diabetes

More than one-third of respondents, $35.8 \%$, had been diagnosed with diabetes or had someone in their immediate family diagnosed with the condition. Demographic groups that were more likely to have been diagnosed with diabetes include respondents who are not employed.

## Vision Impairment

Less than one-third of respondents, $31.6 \%$, had been diagnosed with vision impairment or had someone in their immediate family diagnosed with the condition. There were no demographic groups that statistically more likely to have a vision impairment.

## Heart Disease

Less than one-third of respondents, $30.4 \%$, had been diagnosed with heart disease or had someone in their immediate family diagnosed with the condition. Demographic groups that were more likely to have been diagnosed with heart disease include respondents who are not employed.

Diagnoses by select demographics


## *Denotes a Statistically Significant Relationship

## Most Recent Checks/Screenings



| Summary: Tests and Exams |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Never | Within <br> past year | Within <br> past 2 <br> years | Within <br> past 3 <br> years | Within <br> past 5 <br> years | 5 years <br> or more |
| Blood cholesterol check | $14.8 \%$ | $63.8 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ |
| Mammogram* | $20.9 \%$ | $47.3 \%$ | $14.5 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ |
| PSA Test^ | $48.3 \%$ | $33.0 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| Colonoscopy | $56.5 \%$ | $12.8 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ |
| Skin cancer screening | $77.5 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ |
| *Asked offemales only, ^Asked of males only |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Blood Cholesterol Check

The majority of respondents, $85.2 \%$, had received a blood cholesterol check sometime in the past. Nearly twothirds, $63.8 \%$ had a blood cholesterol check in the past year, $17.1 \%$ had the check one to five years ago, and $4.3 \%$ had the check 5 or more years ago. Respondents ages 55 and over, those without children in the home, respondents who are not employed, and those with health insurance were more likely to have had a blood cholesterol check in the past year. Respondents ages 24 and under, those with children in the home, and respondents without health insurance were most likely to have never had a blood cholesterol check.

## Mammogram

More than three-quarters of the female respondents, $79.1 \%$, had received a mammogram in the past. Nearly half of females, $47.3 \%$, had a mammogram in the past year, $25.0 \%$ had the exam one to five years ago and $6.8 \%$ had the exam 5 or more years ago. Respondents ages 45 and over, those without children in the home, and those who have health insurance were more likely to have had a mammogram in the past year. Respondents ages 34 and under, those with children in the home, and respondents without health insurance were most likely to have never had a mammogram.

## PSA Test

More than half of the male respondents, $51.7 \%$ had received a PSA Test in the past. Less than one-third of males, $33.0 \%$, had a PSA test in the past year, $16.5 \%$ had the test one to five years ago, and $2.3 \%$ had the test 5 or more years ago. Respondents ages 55 and over, those without children in the home, respondents with health insurance and retired respondents were more likely to have had a PSA test in the past year. Respondents ages 44 and under, those with children in the home, employed respondents and those without health insurance were most likely to have never had a PSA test.

## Colonoscopy

Less than half of respondents, $43.5 \%$ had received a colonoscopy in the past. Less than one-sixth of respondents, $12.8 \%$, had a colonoscopy in the past year, $22.5 \%$ had the test one to five years ago, and $8.3 \%$ had the test 5 or more years ago. Respondents ages 55 and over, those without children in the home, respondents who are not employed, and those with health insurance were more likely to have had a colonoscopy in the past year. Respondents ages 44 and under, respondents with children in the home, and those without insurance were most likely to have never had a colonoscopy.

## Skin Cancer Screening

Only a small percentage of respondents, $22.5 \%$, had received a skin cancer screening in the past. Slightly more than one-tenth of respondents, $11.1 \%$, had a skin cancer screening in the past year, $8.6 \%$ had the test one to five years ago, and $2.8 \%$ had the test 5 or more years ago. Respondents ages 65 and over were more likely to have had a skin cancer screening in the past year. Respondents ages 44 and under were most likely to have never had a skin cancer screening.
-

| Most Recent Mammogram by select demographics |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Within 1 <br> year | 1 to 5 years | 5 years or more | Never |
| All respondents |  | 47.3\% | 25.0\% | 6.8\% | 20.9\% |
| Demographic | Subgroup |  |  |  |  |
| Age* | 18-24 | 14.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 85.7\% |
|  | 25-34 | 14.8\% | 14.8\% | 0.0\% | 70.4\% |
|  | 35-44 | 36.1\% | 36.1\% | 8.3\% | 19.4\% |
|  | 45-54 | 55.8\% | 25.6\% | 9.3\% | 9.3\% |
|  | 55-64 | 60.0\% | 23.6\% | 5.5\% | 10.9\% |
|  | 65 and over | 56.0\% | 26.0\% | 10.0\% | 8.0\% |
| Marital <br> Status | Married | 47.5\% | 27.7\% | 6.4\% | 18.4\% |
|  | Not married | 46.2\% | 20.5\% | 7.7\% | 25.6\% |
| Children in Home* | Yes | 35.4\% | 21.5\% | 6.2\% | 36.9\% |
|  | No | 52.3\% | 26.5\% | 7.1\% | 14.2\% |
| Income | Under \$25,000 | 47.0\% | 24.2\% | 4.5\% | 24.2\% |
|  | \$25-\$49,999 | 46.2\% | 24.4\% | 9.0\% | 20.5\% |
|  | \$50-\$74,999 | 44.1\% | 32.4\% | 5.9\% | 17.6\% |
|  | \$75-\$99,999 | 52.9\% | 23.5\% | 0.0\% | 23.5\% |
|  | \$100,000 or more | 61.5\% | 15.4\% | 0.0\% | 23.1\% |
| Employment Status | Employed | 43.5\% | 25.9\% | 4.6\% | 25.9\% |
|  | Not employed | 50.5\% | 24.3\% | 9.0\% | 16.2\% |
| Education <br> Attainment | High School Grad or less | 50.9\% | 24.1\% | 7.8\% | 17.2\% |
|  | Some college/Associate's | 42.6\% | 32.4\% | 7.4\% | 17.6\% |
|  | College Grad or more | 44.4\% | 13.9\% | 2.8\% | 38.9\% |
| Insured* | Yes | 51.9\% | 22.5\% | 5.9\% | 19.8\% |
|  | No | 21.2\% | 39.4\% | 12.1\% | 27.3\% |

## *Denotes a Statistically Significant Relationship

| Most Recent PSA Test by select demographics |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Within 1 <br> year | 1 to 5 <br> years | 5 years or more | Never |
| All respondents |  | 33.0\% | 16.5\% | 2.3\% | 48.3\% |
| Demographic | Subgroup |  |  |  |  |
| Age* | 18-24 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 25-34 | 5.0\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 90.0\% |
|  | 35-44 | 7.4\% | 14.8\% | 3.7\% | 74.1\% |
|  | 45-54 | 29.4\% | 17.6\% | 2.9\% | 50.0\% |
|  | 55-64 | 50.0\% | 20.0\% | 2.5\% | 27.5\% |
|  | 65 and over | 62.2\% | 27.0\% | 2.7\% | 8.1\% |
| Marital Status | Married | 37.6\% | 17.8\% | 2.0\% | 42.6\% |
|  | Not married | 25.4\% | 14.1\% | 2.8\% | 57.7\% |
| Children in Home* | Yes | 9.6\% | 15.4\% |  | 75.0\% |
|  | No | 42.3\% | 17.1\% | 3.3\% | 37.4\% |
| Income | Under \$25,000 | 35.7\% | 32.1\% | 3.6\% | 28.6\% |
|  | \$25-\$49,999 | 29.2\% | 9.2\% | 1.5\% | 60.0\% |
|  | \$50-\$74,999 | 27.8\% | 22.2\% | 2.8\% | 47.2\% |
|  | \$75-\$99,999 | 35.7\% | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | 57.1\% |
|  | \$100,000 or more | 45.5\% | 9.1\% | 9.1\% | 36.4\% |
| Employment Status* | Employed | 24.3\% | 15.5\% | 1.0\% | 59.2\% |
|  | Not employed | 45.8\% | 16.7\% | 4.2\% | 33.3\% |
| Education <br> Attainment | High School Grad or less | 29.7\% | 16.5\% | 2.2\% | 51.6\% |
|  | Some college/Associate's | 20.5\% | 23.1\% | 5.1\% | 51.3\% |
|  | College Grad or more | 48.8\% | 11.6\% | 0.0\% | 39.5\% |
| Insured* | Yes | 38.2\% | 16.4\% | 2.6\% | 42.8\% |
|  | No | 0.0\% | 18.2\% | 0.0\% | 81.8\% |

*Denotes a Statistically Significant Relationship

| Most Recent Colonoscopy by select demographics |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Within 1 year | 1 to 5 years | 5 years or more | Never |
| All respondents |  | 12.8\% | 22.5\% | 8.3\% | 56.5\% |
| Demographic | Subgroup |  |  |  |  |
| Gender | Male | 12.9\% | 21.9\% | 7.3\% | 57.9\% |
|  | Female | 12.6\% | 23.0\% | 9.0\% | 55.4\% |
| Age* | 18-24 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 25-34 | 2.1\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 95.7\% |
|  | 35-44 | 6.3\% | 14.3\% | 7.9\% | 71.4\% |
|  | 45-54 | 11.5\% | 19.2\% | 9.0\% | 60.3\% |
|  | 55-64 | 18.6\% | 35.1\% | 9.3\% | 37.1\% |
|  | 65 and over | 21.6\% | 35.2\% | 11.4\% | 31.8\% |
| Marital Status | Married | 13.5\% | 24.2\% | 8.2\% | 54.1\% |
|  | Not married | 11.9\% | 20.5\% | 7.3\% | 60.3\% |
| Children in Home* | Yes | 5.1\% | 11.9\% | 4.2\% | 78.8\% |
|  | No | 16.0\% | 27.0\% | 9.6\% | 47.3\% |
| Income | Under \$25,000 | 16.5\% | 19.6\% | 7.2\% | 56.7\% |
|  | \$25-\$49,999 | 11.1\% | 27.1\% | 9.7\% | 52.1\% |
|  | \$50-\$74,999 | 14.3\% | 15.7\% | 7.1\% | 62.9\% |
|  | \$75-\$99,999 | 6.5\% | 25.8\% | 3.2\% | 64.5\% |
|  | \$100,000 or more | 12.5\% | 33.3\% | 8.3\% | 45.8\% |
| Employment Status* | Employed | 9.4\% | 17.5\% | 6.6\% | 66.5\% |
|  | Not employed | 16.1\% | 28.5\% | 10.2\% | 45.2\% |
| Education <br> Attainment | High School Grad or less | 15.0\% | 22.7\% | 6.8\% | 55.6\% |
|  | Some college/Associate's | 11.0\% | 20.2\% | 11.0\% | 57.8\% |
|  | College Grad or more | 9.9\% | 25.9\% | 6.2\% | 58.0\% |
| Insured* | Yes | 14.7\% | 25.2\% | 8.5\% | 51.6\% |
|  | No | 1.8\% | 7.0\% | 7.0\% | 84.2\% |

*Denotes a Statistically Significant Relationship

*Denotes a Statistically Significant Relationship

| Most Recent Cholesterol Check by select demographics |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Within 1 year | 1 to 5 <br> years | 5 years or more | Never |
| All respondents |  | 63.8\% | 17.1\% | 4.3\% | 14.8\% |
| Demographic | Subgroup |  |  |  |  |
| Gender | Male | 67.4\% | 14.3\% | 3.4\% | 14.9\% |
|  | Female | 60.8\% | 19.4\% | 5.1\% | 14.7\% |
| Age* | 18-24 | 17.4\% | 13.0\% | 0.0\% | 69.6\% |
|  | 25-34 | 33.3\% | 33.3\% | 8.9\% | 24.4\% |
|  | 35-44 | 52.5\% | 19.7\% | 8.2\% | 19.7\% |
|  | 45-54 | 64.9\% | 19.5\% | 3.9\% | 11.7\% |
|  | 55-64 | 76.3\% | 14.4\% | 4.1\% | 5.2\% |
|  | 65 and over | 83.7\% | 9.3\% | 1.2\% | 5.8\% |
| Marital <br> Status | Married | 66.7\% | 17.5\% | 3.8\% | 12.1\% |
|  | Not married | 59.5\% | 16.9\% | 4.7\% | 18.9\% |
| Children in Home* | Yes | 44.3\% | 26.1\% | 6.1\% | 23.5\% |
|  | No | 71.7\% | 13.4\% | 3.6\% | 11.2\% |
| Income | Under \$25,000 | 61.7\% | 14.9\% | 5.3\% | 18.1\% |
|  | \$25-\$49,999 | 62.2\% | 17.5\% | 3.5\% | 16.8\% |
|  | \$50-\$74,999 | 60.9\% | 20.3\% | 4.3\% | 14.5\% |
|  | \$75-\$99,999 | 73.3\% | 13.3\% | 3.3\% | 10.0\% |
|  | \$100,000 or more | 78.3\% | 13.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.7\% |
| Employment Status* | Employed | 56.0\% | 22.2\% | 5.3\% | 16.4\% |
|  | Not employed | 72.7\% | 11.5\% | 2.7\% | 13.1\% |
| Education <br> Attainment | High School Grad or less | 63.9\% | 18.3\% | 4.0\% | 13.9\% |
|  | Some college/Associate's | 62.6\% | 15.0\% | 6.5\% | 15.9\% |
|  | College Grad or more | 63.8\% | 17.5\% | 2.5\% | 16.3\% |
| Insured* | Yes | 67.6\% | 17.0\% | 3.6\% | 11.9\% |
|  | No | 42.6\% | 18.5\% | 9.3\% | 29.6\% |

*Denotes a Statistically Significant Relationship

## ACCESS TO CARE

| Summary: Access to Care |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percentage | $N$ |
| Where receive healthcare most often | Primary care or family doctor | 76.3\% | $N=396$ |
|  | A hospital or specialty clinic | 7.6\% |  |
|  | The emergency room | 6.3\% |  |
|  | A VA hospital or clinic | 3.5\% |  |
|  | An urgent care center | 2.5\% |  |
|  | A free clinic | 0.8\% |  |
|  | A public health department or clinic | 0.8\% |  |
|  | Something else | 2.3\% |  |
| Have one person or group think of as provider | Yes | 85.2\% | $\mathrm{N}=399$ |
|  | No | 14.8\% |  |
| Unable to get Healthcare services | Yes | 11.6\% | $N=398$ |
|  | No | 88.4\% |  |
| Follow-up: <br> What services needed (top 3) | Specialists | 17.8\% | $N=45$ |
|  | Treatment for illness not covered by | 15.6\% |  |
|  | Insurance | 6.7\% |  |
| Follow-up: <br> Why unable to get services (top 3) | Too expensive/Cost | 31.1\% | $\mathrm{N}=45$ |
|  | No insurance | 26.7\% |  |
|  | Services not available in area/Ohio | 11.1\% |  |
| Unable to find needed doctor or specialist locally | Yes | 26.6\% | $\mathrm{N}=399$ |
|  | No | 73.4\% |  |
| Specialist had a difficult time seeing | Dermatologist | 13.6\% | $\mathrm{N}=103$ |
|  | Orthopedist | 12.6\% |  |
|  | Neurologist | 9.7\% |  |
| How long since last routine checkup | Within the past year | 70.1\% | $\mathrm{N}=391$ |
|  | Within the past 2 years | 9.5\% |  |
|  | Within the past 5 years | 6.6\% |  |
|  | 5 or more years ago | 13.8\% |  |
| Has Health Insurance | Not Insured | 14.3\% | $\mathrm{N}=398$ |
|  | Employer Paid | 45.5\% |  |
|  | Private Insurance | 9.8\% |  |
|  | Medicare or Medicaid | 28.4\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Where Receive Healthcare Most Often

Respondents were asked when they receive healthcare, where do they receive it most often: a primary care or family doctor, the emergency room, an urgent care center, a public health department or clinic, a VA hospital or clinic, a free clinic, a hospital or specialty clinic or somewhere else. The leading source of health care for respondents was a primary care doctor. More than three-quarters or $76 \%$ of respondents indicated they receive their health care most often from a primary care doctor. On the other hand, less than one-quarter or $24 \%$ of respondents relied on other sources for health care. For instance, $8 \%$ of respondents relied on a hospital or specialty clinic and $6 \%$ of respondents relied on emergency rooms as their primary source of health care, while another 5\% relied on a Veterans Administration (VA) hospitals or clinics. Other sources of health care included, in order of importance, Urgent Care Center (3\%), public health departments (1\%), and free clinics (1\%).

Where Receive Healthcare Most Often


Whether or not a respondent relied on sources for health care other than a primary care doctor, such as emergency rooms or clinics, varied according to several demographics or other identifying characteristics. For instance, males were more likely than females to rely on other sources for primary health care. Age was also a factor; the younger the person, the more likely they were to rely on other sources for health care. The largest indicator was whether or not a respondent had health insurance. Whereas $82 \%$ of respondents who had health insurance relied mostly on a primary care doctor, only $44 \%$ of those without insurance relied on a primary doctor as their main healthcare provider.

| Primary Healthcare Provider by select demographics |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Primary Care | Hospital/ Spec. Clinic | ER | Other |
| All respondents |  | 76.3\% | 7.6\% | 6.3\% | 9.8\% |
| Demographic | Subgroup |  |  |  |  |
| Gender* | Male | 65.9\% | 9.7\% | 9.7\% | 14.8\% |
|  | Female | 84.5\% | 5.9\% | 3.6\% | 5.9\% |
| Age* | 18-24 | 50.0\% | 18.2\% | 18.2\% | 13.6\% |
|  | 25-34 | 74.5\% | 8.5\% | 14.9\% | 2.1\% |
|  | 35-44 | 74.6\% | 3.2\% | 7.9\% | 14.3\% |
|  | 45-54 | 77.6\% | 2.6\% | 5.3\% | 14.5\% |
|  | 55-64 | 79.2\% | 9.4\% | 3.1\% | 8.3\% |
|  | 65 and over | 80.7\% | 10.2\% | 1.1\% | 8.0\% |
| Marital <br> Status | Married | 80.7\% | 6.6\% | 4.9\% | 7.8\% |
|  | Not married | 70.3\% | 9.5\% | 7.4\% | 12.8\% |
| Children in Home* | Yes | 78.6\% | 4.3\% | 10.3\% | 6.8\% |
|  | No | 75.5\% | 9.0\% | 4.3\% | 11.2\% |
| Income | Under \$25,000 | 71.0\% | 10.8\% | 7.5\% | 10.8\% |
|  | \$25-\$49,999 | 76.4\% | 6.3\% | 6.9\% | 10.4\% |
|  | \$50-\$74,999 | 77.1\% | 10.0\% | 5.7\% | 7.1\% |
|  | \$75-\$99,999 | 83.9\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 12.9\% |
|  | \$100,000 or more | 87.5\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 8.3\% |
| Employment Status | Employed | 74.2\% | 6.7\% | 7.7\% | 11.5\% |
|  | Not employed | 78.9\% | 8.6\% | 4.9\% | 7.6\% |
| Education <br> Attainment | High School Grad or less | 79.1\% | 7.8\% | 5.8\% | 7.3\% |
|  | Some college/Associate's | 68.2\% | 9.3\% | 6.5\% | 15.9\% |
|  | College Grad or more | 81.3\% | 5.0\% | 5.0\% | 8.8\% |
| Insured* | Yes | 81.8\% | 6.8\% | 3.8\% | 7.6\% |
|  | No | 43.6\% | 10.9\% | 21.8\% | 23.6\% |
| Question: When you are in need of health care, where do you receive it MOST often? |  |  |  |  |  |

*Denotes a Statistically Significant Relationship

## Have Primary Care Doctor

The majority of respondents, $85.2 \%$, reported having one person or group that they think of as their doctor or healthcare provider. Groups more likely to have a primary care doctor or healthcare provider include respondents ages 55 and over, married respondents, those with an annual income of $\$ 75,000$ or more, retired respondents, and those with health insurance. Groups more likely to not have a primary care doctor or healthcare provider include respondents ages 24 and under, those who are not married respondents with an annual income of $\$ 25,000$ or less, and those without insurance.

Have Primary Provider by select demographics


Question: Do you have one person or group you think of as your
doctor or healthcare provider?

## Unable to get Services

More than one in ten, $11.6 \%$, indicated there were healthcare services they or a member of their family needed in the past year that they were unable to get. Groups of respondents more likely to indicate they need services they could not get include females, those who are unmarried and those who are unemployed. Income and employment also played a role with those from households with progressively less income more likely to have not received needed healthcare services. In addition, those without health insurance were nearly three times more likely than those with health insurance to have been unable to received needed healthcare services.

Unable to Get Services by select demographics

|  |  | Yes | No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All respondents |  | 11.6\% | 88.4\% |
| Demographic | Subgroup |  |  |
| Gend | Male | 9.0\% | 91.0\% |
| Gender | Female | 13.6\% | 86.4\% |
|  | 18-24 | 18.2\% | 81.8\% |
|  | 25-34 | 6.4\% | 93.6\% |
|  | 35-44 | 12.7\% | 87.3\% |
|  | 45-54 | 15.4\% | 84.6\% |
|  | 55-64 | 14.4\% | 85.6\% |
|  | 65 and over | 4.6\% | 95.4\% |
| Marital Status* | Married | 8.6\% | 91.4\% |
| Marital Status | Not married | 16.0\% | 84.0\% |
| Children in Home | Yes | 10.3\% | 89.7\% |
| Children in Home | No | 12.1\% | 87.9\% |
|  | Under \$25,000 | 19.6\% | 80.4\% |
|  | \$25-\$49,999 | 11.3\% | 88.7\% |
| Income* | \$50-\$74,999 | 5.7\% | 94.3\% |
|  | \$75-\$99,999 | 3.2\% | 96.8\% |
|  | \$100,000 or more | 4.2\% | 95.8\% |
| Employment Status* | Employed | 8.6\% | 91.4\% |
| Employment Status | Not employed | 15.1\% | 84.9\% |
|  | High School Grad or less | 8.3\% | 91.7\% |
| Education Attainment | Some college/Associate's | 17.4\% | 82.6\% |
|  | College Grad or more | 11.1\% | 88.9\% |
| Insured* | Yes | 9.1\% | 90.9\% |
| Insured | No | 26.8\% | 73.2\% |
| Question: Were there the past 2 years that you | are services that you or a f able to get? | memb | ded in |

The $11.6 \%$ of respondents who were unable to obtain a needed health related service in the past year were asked what services they were unable to get and why they were unable to get the needed services. The healthcare service needed most often was a specific specialist (17.8\%). Other needed healthcare services include, in order of importance, treatment for an illness not covered by insurance (15.6\%), health insurance, dental services, doctor visits, surgery and medication (all at 6.7\%). Nearly a third, 31.1\%, indicated that they were unable to afford the needed service while more than one-quarter, $26.7 \%$, cited lack of insurance. Other reasons for being unable to obtain needed healthcare services include that the services were not available in the area (11.1\%) and insurance would not cover the service (8.9\%).

What was it that you needed?

|  | \# of 1st <br> Responses | $\%$ of 1st <br> Responses | \# of All <br> Responses | $\%$ of Answering <br> Respondents |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Specialists | 5 | $11.1 \%$ | 8 | $17.8 \%$ |
| Treatment for illness not covered by insurance | 5 | $11.1 \%$ | 7 | $15.6 \%$ |
| Insurance | 1 | $2.2 \%$ | 3 | $6.7 \%$ |
| Dental services | 3 | $6.7 \%$ | 3 | $6.7 \%$ |
| Doctor visits | 3 | $6.7 \%$ | 3 | $6.7 \%$ |
| Surgery | 3 | $6.7 \%$ | 3 | $6.7 \%$ |
| Medication | 2 | $4.4 \%$ | 3 | $6.7 \%$ |
| Routine Checkups | 2 | $4.4 \%$ | 2 | $4.4 \%$ |
| MRI | 2 | $4.4 \%$ | 2 | $4.4 \%$ |
| Home care/Visiting nurse | 1 | $2.2 \%$ | 2 | $4.4 \%$ |
| Migraine | 2 | $4.4 \%$ | 2 | $4.4 \%$ |
| Colonoscopy | 2 | $4.4 \%$ | 2 | $4.4 \%$ |
| MISCELLANEOUS | 11 | $24.4 \%$ | 13 | $28.9 \%$ |
|  | 45 | $(\mathrm{n}=45)$ | 56 | $(\mathrm{n}=45)$ |

Why were you unable to get the needed service?

|  | \# of 1st <br> Responses | \% of 1st <br> Responses | \# of All <br> Responses | $\%$ of Answering <br> Respondents |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Too expensive/Cost | 10 | $20.0 \%$ | 14 | $31.1 \%$ |
| No insurance | 12 | $26.7 \%$ | 12 | $26.7 \%$ |
| Services not available in area/Ohio | 5 | $11.1 \%$ | 5 | $11.1 \%$ |
| Insurance would not cover service | 4 | $8.9 \%$ | 5 | $11.1 \%$ |
| Unemployed | 1 | $2.2 \%$ | 2 | $4.4 \%$ |
| Reached insurance CAP | 2 | $4.4 \%$ | 2 | $4.4 \%$ |
| Rare illness no options | 1 | $2.2 \%$ | 2 | $4.4 \%$ |
| MISCELLANEOUS | 10 | $20.0 \%$ | 14 | $31.1 \%$ |
|  |  | $(\mathbf{n}=45)$ | 56 | $(\mathbf{n}=45)$ |

## Needed Specialists or Doctor Unable to Find Locally

Next, all respondents were asked if in the past two years they or a member of their family needed to see a specialist or a doctor that they were unable to find locally or had to wait more than 30 days to schedule an appointment. More than a quarter, $26.6 \%$, reported that they were unable to see a doctor or specialist that they needed locally or within a reasonable time frame. Income was the only demographic characteristic that was statistically significant in this area. The income groups that were most likely to have this issue were those with an annual income of $\$ 25,000$ or less and those with an annual income of $\$ 100,000$ and more.

The $26.6 \%$ of respondents who were unable to find a needed specialist or doctor locally or in a reasonable time frame were asked what specialist or doctor they needed. The specialist needed most often was a Dermatologist, given by $13.6 \%$ of answering respondents. Other needed specialists or doctors include, in order of importance, orthopedic doctor (12.6\%), Neurologist (9.7\%), pain clinic or doctor (8.7\%), Gastroenterologist (7.8\%), Endocrinologist (6.8\%), Pediatrician (6.8\%), and a Cardiologist (5.8\%).

| What type of specialist or doctor was it? |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# of 1st <br> Responses | \% of 1st <br> Responses | \# of All <br> Responses | \% of Answering <br> Respondents |
| Dermatologist | 13 | $12.6 \%$ | 14 | $13.6 \%$ |
| Orthopedist | 9 | $8.7 \%$ | 13 | $12.6 \%$ |
| Neurologist | 9 | $8.7 \%$ | 10 | $9.7 \%$ |
| Pain Clinic/Doctor | 8 | $7.8 \%$ | 9 | $8.7 \%$ |
| Gastroenterologist | 7 | $6.8 \%$ | 8 | $7.8 \%$ |
| Endocrinologist | 4 | $3.9 \%$ | 7 | $6.8 \%$ |
| Pediatric physician | 5 | $4.9 \%$ | 7 | $6.8 \%$ |
| Cardiologist | 4 | $3.9 \%$ | 6 | $5.8 \%$ |
| Urologist | 5 | $4.9 \%$ | 5 | $4.9 \%$ |
| Dentist | 3 | $2.9 \%$ | 4 | $3.9 \%$ |
| Oncologist | 3 | $2.9 \%$ | 4 | $3.9 \%$ |
| Gynecologist | 2 | $1.9 \%$ | 3 | $2.9 \%$ |
| Kidney specialist | 2 | $1.9 \%$ | 3 | $2.9 \%$ |
| Ophthalmologist | 3 | $2.9 \%$ | 3 | $2.9 \%$ |
| Mental health doctor | 3 | $2.9 \%$ | 3 | $2.9 \%$ |
| Periodontics | 3 | $2.9 \%$ | 3 | $2.9 \%$ |
| Back doctor | 3 | $2.9 \%$ | 3 | $2.9 \%$ |
| Family physician | 2 | $1.9 \%$ | 2 | $1.9 \%$ |
| Podiatrist | 1 | $1.0 \%$ | 2 | $1.9 \%$ |
| Allergist | 1 | $1.0 \%$ | 2 | $1.9 \%$ |
| Respiratory | 2 | $1.9 \%$ | 2 | $1.9 \%$ |
| Miscellaneous | 11 | $10.7 \%$ | 15 | $14.6 \%$ |
|  | 103 | $(\mathrm{n}=103)$ | 128 | $(\mathrm{n}=103)$ |

Unable to See Specialist Locally by select demographics

|  |  | Yes | No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All respondents |  | 26.6\% | 73.4\% |
| Demographic | Subgroup |  |  |
| Gender | Male | 21.9\% | 78.1\% |
|  | Female | 30.3\% | 69.7\% |
| Age | 18-24 | 30.4\% | 69.6\% |
|  | 25-34 | 34.0\% | 66.0\% |
|  | 35-44 | 28.6\% | 71.4\% |
|  | 45-54 | 34.6\% | 65.4\% |
|  | 55-64 | 21.6\% | 78.4\% |
|  | 65 and over | 17.2\% | 82.8\% |
| Marital Status | Married | 25.0\% | 75.0\% |
|  | Not married | 29.3\% | 70.7\% |
| Children in Home | Yes | 31.4\% | 68.6\% |
|  | No | 24.3\% | 75.7\% |
| Income* | Under \$25,000 | 38.5\% | 61.5\% |
|  | \$25-\$49,999 | 22.2\% | 77.8\% |
|  | \$50-\$74,999 | 20.0\% | 80.0\% |
|  | \$75-\$99,999 | 16.1\% | 83.9\% |
|  | \$100,000 or more | 41.7\% | 58.3\% |
| Employment Status | Employed | 25.0\% | 75.0\% |
|  | Not employed | 28.1\% | 71.9\% |
| Education Attainment | High School Grad or less | 23.2\% | 76.8\% |
|  | Some college/Associate's | 34.3\% | 65.7\% |
|  | College Grad or more | 23.5\% | 76.5\% |
| Insured | Yes | 25.9\% | 74.1\% |
|  | No | 31.6\% | 68.4\% |

Question: In the past two years, have you or a family member needed to see a specialist or doctor that you were unable to find locally or had to wait more than 30 days to schedule appointment?
*Denotes a Statistically Significant Relationship

## Routine Checkups

The next question in this series asked respondents how long it has been since they visited a doctor for a routine check-up. Nearly three-quarters of respondents, $70.1 \%$, had received a routine checkup in the past year. An additional $9.5 \%$ had received a routine check-up in the past two years and $6.6 \%$ in the last five years. More than one in ten respondents, $13.8 \%$, have not had a routine checkup in 5 or more years.

## Last Routine Checkup

$\square$ Within 1 year $\quad$ Within 2 years $\quad$ Within 5 years $\square 5$ or more years


How long it had been since their last checkup varied according to several demographic or other identifying characteristics of respondents. Groups of respondents most likely to have had a routine checkup in the past year include respondents ages 55 and over, retired respondents, and those with health insurance. Groups of respondents most likely to have not had a routine checkup in the past five years include respondents ages 18 to 24 and those without health insurance.

Last Routine Checkup by select demographics

|  |  | Within past year | Within past 2 years | Within past 5 years | 5 or more years ago |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All respondents |  | 70.1\% | 9.5\% | 6.6\% | 13.8\% |
| Demographic | Subgroup |  |  |  |  |
| Gender | Male | 70.9\% | 9.7\% | 5.1\% | 14.3\% |
|  | Female | 69.4\% | 9.3\% | 7.9\% | 13.4\% |
| Age* | 18-24 | 34.8\% | 26.1\% | 13.0\% | 26.1\% |
|  | 25-34 | 51.1\% | 14.9\% | 14.9\% | 19.1\% |
|  | 35-44 | 62.9\% | 11.3\% | 6.5\% | 19.4\% |
|  | 45-54 | 68.0\% | 6.7\% | 8.0\% | 17.3\% |
|  | 55-64 | 73.4\% | 9.6\% | 4.3\% | 12.8\% |
|  | 65 and over | 91.9\% | 3.5\% | 2.3\% | 2.3\% |
| Marital <br> Status | Married | 70.1\% | 10.4\% | 5.8\% | 13.7\% |
|  | Not married | 70.3\% | 8.3\% | 7.6\% | 13.8\% |
| Children in Home | Yes | 61.2\% | 14.7\% | 7.8\% | 16.4\% |
|  | No | 73.7\% | 7.3\% | 6.2\% | 12.8\% |
| Income | Under \$25,000 | 74.5\% | 5.3\% | 7.4\% | 12.8\% |
|  | \$25-\$49,999 | 69.1\% | 8.6\% | 5.8\% | 16.5\% |
|  | \$50-\$74,999 | 70.0\% | 10.0\% | 10.0\% | 10.0\% |
|  | \$75-\$99,999 | 77.4\% | 9.7\% | 3.2\% | 9.7\% |
|  | \$100,000 or more | 66.7\% | 16.7\% | 4.2\% | 12.5\% |
| Employment Status* | Employed | 62.0\% | 13.0\% | 6.3\% | 18.8\% |
|  | Not employed | 80.1\% | 5.0\% | 6.6\% | 8.3\% |
| Education <br> Attainment | High School Grad or less | 69.5\% | 9.0\% | 7.5\% | 14.0\% |
|  | Some college/Associate's | 74.1\% | 6.5\% | 5.6\% | 13.9\% |
|  | College Grad or more | 66.3\% | 15.0\% | 6.3\% | 12.5\% |
| Insured* | Yes | 73.9\% | 9.8\% | 5.6\% | 10.7\% |
|  | No | 46.2\% | 7.7\% | 13.5\% | 32.7\% |
| Question: About how long has it been since you last visited a doctor for a routine checkup? |  |  |  |  |  |

*Denotes a Statistically Significant Relationship

## Insurance Coverage

All respondents were asked if they had health insurance coverage. A significant portion, $14.3 \%$ did not have health insurance. Nearly half, $46 \%$ were covered by employer paid plans, $10 \%$ were covered by private insurance and $28 \%$ reported being covered by Medicare or Medicaid.
Health Insurance Coverage
$\square$ Not insured $\quad$ Employer paid $\quad$ Private insurance $\quad$ Medicare or Medicaid


Whether or not a given respondent has health insurance coverage varied according to several demographic and other identifying characteristics. Relatively older respondents, especially those ages 65 and older, were more likely to have health insurance coverage, while relatively younger persons, especially those ages 18 to 24 , were less likely to have health insurance. Household income played a role in health insurance coverage as well. In general, respondents from households with progressively more income were more likely to have health insurance, while those from households with progressively less income were less likely to have health insurance. Marital status also had an impact on whether or not a person had health insurance coverage. Married persons were more likely than unmarried persons to have health insurance.

Have Health Insurance by select demographics

| All respondents |  | Yes |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | No

*Denotes a Statistically Significant Relationship

## EXERCISE, OBESITY \& TOBACCO USE

| Summary: Exercise and Obesity |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percentage | $N$ |
| Exercised in the past month | Yes | 76.0\% | $\mathrm{N}=400$ |
|  | No | 24.0\% |  |
| How often exercise in an average week | Not at all | 5.3\% | N=304 |
|  | Every once in awhile | 14.1\% |  |
|  | 1-2 times | 24.0\% |  |
|  | 3-4 times | 28.6\% |  |
|  | 5-7 times | 28.0\% |  |
| Self-described weight | Overweight | 51.1\% | $N=399$ |
|  | About right | 43.6\% |  |
|  | Underweight | 5.3\% |  |
| Tried to lose weight in past 12 months | Yes | 59.9\% | N=399 |
|  | No | 40.1\% |  |


| Summary: Smoking and Tobacco Use |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percentage | $N$ |
| Tobacco Use | Everyday | 27.0\% | $N=400$ |
|  | Some days | 4.8\% |  |
|  | Not at all | 68.3\% |  |
| Interest in Hospital Smoking Cessation Program (asked of smokers only) | Very Interested | 9.1\% | $N=55$ |
|  | Somewhat Interested | 20.0\% |  |
|  | Not at all Interested | 70.9\% |  |

## Exercise

More than three-quarters of respondents, $76 \%$, had exercised in the past month. Groups more likely to have exercised in the past month include respondents ages 18 to 44, those who are currently married, those with an annual income of $\$ 50,000$ or more, employed respondents, and college graduates. Next, all respondents were asked how often they exercise, on average, each week. A small portion of respondents, $5 \%$, reported that they do not exercise at all; an additional $14 \%$ stated they exercise only once in a while, and $24 \%$ reported that they exercised 1 or 2 times a week. More than half, $57 \%$, reported exercising on a regular basis. More specifically, $29 \%$ reported exercising 3 to 4 times a week, and $28 \%$ exercise 5 to 7 times a week, on average.

## Exercised in Past Month



How Often Exercise (Of those who exercised in the past month)


Exercised in past month by select demographics

|  |  | Yes | No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All respondents |  | 76.0\% | 24.0\% |
| Demographic | Subgroup |  |  |
| Gender* | Male | 82.6\% | 17.4\% |
|  | Female | 70.7\% | 29.3\% |
| Age* | 18-24 | 91.3\% | 8.7\% |
|  | 25-34 | 80.9\% | 19.1\% |
|  | 35-44 | 82.5\% | 17.5\% |
|  | 45-54 | 70.5\% | 29.5\% |
|  | 55-64 | 80.4\% | 19.6\% |
|  | 65 and over | 65.9\% | 34.1\% |
| Marital Status | Married | 76.2\% | 23.8\% |
|  | Not married | 75.5\% | 24.5\% |
| Children in Home | Yes | 79.7\% | 20.3\% |
|  | No | 74.4\% | 25.6\% |
| Income* | Under \$25,000 | 70.1\% | 29.9\% |
|  | \$25-\$49,999 | 70.8\% | 29.2\% |
|  | \$50-\$74,999 | 84.3\% | 15.7\% |
|  | \$75-\$99,999 | 83.9\% | 16.1\% |
|  | \$100,000 or more | 91.7\% | 8.3\% |
| Employment Status* | Employed | 80.2\% | 19.8\% |
|  | Not employed | 71.0\% | 29.0\% |
| Education Attainment | High School Grad or less | 72.9\% | 27.1\% |
|  | Some college/Associate's | 77.1\% | 22.9\% |
|  | College Grad or more | 84.0\% | 16.0\% |
| Insured | Yes | 76.5\% | 23.5\% |
|  | No | 73.7\% | 26.3\% |

Question: During the past month, other than your regular job, did you participate in any physical activity or exercise?
*Denotes a Statistically Significant Relationship

How Often Exercise by select demographics
Once in a

|  |  | 3-7 times | 1-2 times | while/Not at all |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All respondents |  | 56.6\% | 24.0\% | 19.4\% |
| Demographic | Subgroup |  |  |  |
| Gender | Male | 60.5\% | 24.5\% | 15.0\% |
|  | Female | 52.9\% | 23.6\% | 23.6\% |
| Age | 18-24 | 57.1\% | 28.6\% | 14.3\% |
|  | 25-34 | 57.9\% | 23.7\% | 18.4\% |
|  | 35-44 | 46.2\% | 30.8\% | 23.1\% |
|  | 45-54 | 60.0\% | 20.0\% | 20.0\% |
|  | 55-64 | 62.8\% | 20.5\% | 16.7\% |
|  | 65 and over | 55.2\% | 22.4\% | 22.4\% |
| Marital Status | Married | 56.5\% | 24.7\% | 18.8\% |
|  | Not married | 57.9\% | 21.9\% | 20.2\% |
| Children in Home | Yes | 54.3\% | 28.7\% | 17.0\% |
|  | No | 57.9\% | 21.5\% | 20.6\% |
| Income | Under \$25,000 | 48.5\% | 22.1\% | 29.4\% |
|  | \$25-\$49,999 | 52.0\% | 23.5\% | 24.5\% |
|  | \$50-\$74,999 | 64.4\% | 20.3\% | 15.3\% |
|  | \$75-\$99,999 | 61.5\% | 30.8\% | 7.7\% |
|  | \$100,000 or more | 63.6\% | 31.8\% | 4.5\% |
| Employment Status | Employed | 54.7\% | 25.9\% | 19.4\% |
|  | Not Employed | 59.1\% | 22.0\% | 18.9\% |
| Education <br> Attainment | High School Grad or less | 53.0\% | 21.9\% | 25.2\% |
|  | Some college/Associate's | 57.1\% | 26.2\% | 16.7\% |
|  | College Grad or more | 64.7\% | 25.0\% | 10.3\% |
| Insured | Yes | 56.7\% | 24.1\% | 19.2\% |
|  | No | 57.1\% | 21.4\% | 21.4\% |
| Question: How often do you exercise in an average week? |  |  |  |  |

*Denotes a Statistically Significant Relationship

## Obesity

All respondents were asked to describe their personal weight using a 5-point scale: very underweight, somewhat underweight, about right, somewhat overweight, or very overweight. Nearly half of the respondents, 43.6\% reported that their weight is about right. More than half of all respondents, $51.1 \%$ reported being overweight with $43 \%$ being somewhat overweight and $8 \%$ very overweight. Just a small percentage, $5.3 \%$, reported being underweight, with $4 \%$ being somewhat underweight and $1 \%$ very underweight.

## Self-described Weight



Perception of weight among respondents varied according to various demographic or other identifying characteristics. Groups more likely to be overweight include females, respondents ages 35 to 54, and married respondents. Groups of respondents more likely to be about right included: males, respondents age 18 to 34 , and those who are not married.

Self-described Weight by select demographics

|  |  | Overweight | About right | Underweight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All respondents |  | 51.1\% | 43.6\% | 5.3\% |
| Demographic | Subgroup |  |  |  |
| Gender* | Male | 43.8\% | 53.4\% | 2.8\% |
|  | Female | 57.0\% | 35.7\% | 7.2\% |
| Age* | 18-24 | 17.4\% | 65.2\% | 17.4\% |
|  | 25-34 | 42.6\% | 55.3\% | 2.1\% |
|  | 35-44 | 61.9\% | 36.5\% | 1.6\% |
|  | 45-54 | 57.1\% | 39.0\% | 3.9\% |
|  | 55-64 | 54.6\% | 38.1\% | 7.2\% |
|  | 65 and over | 46.6\% | 47.7\% | 5.7\% |
| Marital Status* | Married | 57.2\% | 39.1\% | 3.7\% |
|  | Not married | 41.7\% | 50.3\% | 7.9\% |
| Children in Home | Yes | 54.7\% | 43.6\% | 1.7\% |
|  | No | 49.8\% | 43.4\% | 6.8\% |
| Income | Under \$25,000 | 49.0\% | 43.8\% | 7.3\% |
|  | \$25-\$49,999 | 51.4\% | 43.1\% | 5.6\% |
|  | \$50-\$74,999 | 57.1\% | 38.6\% | 4.3\% |
|  | \$75-\$99,999 | 61.3\% | 32.3\% | 6.5\% |
|  | \$100,000 or more | 41.7\% | 54.2\% | 4.2\% |
| Employment Status | Employed | 52.1\% | 43.1\% | 4.7\% |
|  | Not employed | 50.0\% | 44.1\% | 5.9\% |
| Education <br> Attainment | High School Grad or less | 48.3\% | 45.4\% | 6.3\% |
|  | Some college/Associate's | 54.6\% | 39.8\% | 5.6\% |
|  | College Grad or more | 54.3\% | 43.2\% | 2.5\% |
| Insured | Yes | 52.2\% | 43.4\% | 4.4\% |
|  | No | 46.4\% | 42.9\% | 10.7\% |

Question: How would you describe your own personal weight situation right now?
*Denotes a Statistically Significant Relationship

All respondents were asked if they had thought about or tried to lose weight during the past year. More than half of all respondents, $60 \%$ had thought about or tried to lose weight in the last year. As would be expected, there were large differences between how a person described their weight and whether or not they had tried to lose weight in the last 12 months. For those who described themselves as underweight, $5 \%$ had tried to lose weight in the last year. For those who characterized their weight as just about right, nearly one-third, $32 \%$, had indicated they had tried to lose weight while the majority who thought they were overweight, $90 \%$ had tried to lose weight in the past year. Next, the $60 \%$ of respondents who had tried to lose weight in the past 12 months were asked if they felt they were successful at losing or maintaining their weight. The majority of respondents, $86 \%$, felt they were successful at losing or maintaining their weight, the remaining $14 \%$ felt unsuccessful.

Tried to Lose Weight in Last 12 Months


## Successful at Losing or Maintaining Weight <br> Of those who have tried in last 12 months



## Tobacco Use

Nearly one-third or $31.7 \%$ of all respondents indicated they currently smoke cigarettes or use tobacco at varying frequencies with every day users amounting to $27 \%$ of all respondents. The remaining proportion of tobacco users indicated they smoke cigarettes or use tobacco less frequently or only some days, amounting to $4.8 \%$ of all respondents. More than two-thirds, $68.3 \%$, of respondents reported that they do not use tobacco at all.

Tobacco Use


Respondents without health insurance were more likely to indicate they use tobacco compared to those with insurance. While nearly half, $45.6 \%$, of those without health insurance reported they currently use tobacco every day, only $24.0 \%$ of those with health insurance reported the same. Household income was also strongly associated with cigarette smoking with those with an annual income less than $\$ 25,000$ per year being much more likely to indicate they use tobacco compared to those from higher income households. Age was also related to smoking activity. Respondents ages 25 to 44 were most likely to smoke every day, respondents ages 65 and older were least likely. Other groups of respondents that were more likely to smoke or use tobacco include those with a high school diploma or less education.

Tobacco Use by select demographics
Every day Some days Not at all

| All respondents |  | 27.0\% | 4.8\% | 68.3\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Demographic | Subgroup |  |  |  |
| Gender* | Male | 30.9\% | 7.9\% | 61.2\% |
|  | Female | 23.9\% | 2.3\% | 73.9\% |
| Age* | 18-24 | 17.4\% | 13.0\% | 69.6\% |
|  | 25-34 | 36.2\% | 4.3\% | 59.6\% |
|  | 35-44 | 41.3\% | 4.8\% | 54.0\% |
|  | 45-54 | 30.8\% | 7.7\% | 61.5\% |
|  | 55-64 | 24.7\% | 5.2\% | 70.1\% |
|  | 65 and over | 12.5\% | 0.0\% | 87.5\% |
| Marital <br> Status | Married | 25.0\% | 3.7\% | 71.3\% |
|  | Not married | 30.5\% | 6.6\% | 62.9\% |
| Children in Home* | Yes | 39.8\% | 6.8\% | 53.4\% |
|  | No | 21.7\% | 3.9\% | 74.4\% |
| Income* | Under \$25,000 | 38.1\% | 4.1\% | 57.7\% |
|  | \$25-\$49,999 | 23.6\% | 6.9\% | 69.4\% |
|  | \$50-\$74,999 | 25.7\% | 4.3\% | 70.0\% |
|  | \$75-\$99,999 | 19.4\% | 0.0\% | 80.6\% |
|  | \$100,000 or more | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 95.8\% |
| Employment Status* | Employed | 32.5\% | 5.7\% | 61.8\% |
|  | Not employed | 21.0\% | 3.8\% | 75.3\% |
| Education <br> Attainment* | High School Grad or less | 30.9\% | 3.9\% | 65.2\% |
|  | Some college/Associate's | 28.4\% | 10.1\% | 61.5\% |
|  | College Grad or more | 14.8\% | 0.0\% | 85.2\% |
| Insured* | Yes | 24.0\% | 4.4\% | 71.6\% |
|  | No | 45.6\% | 5.3\% | 49.1\% |

Question: Do you smoke cigarettes or use tobacco products every day, some days, or not at all?
*Denotes a Statistically Significant Relationship

## Interest In Hospital Smoking Cessation Program

 (of those who currently use tobacco products)$\square$ Very Interested $\quad$ Somewhat Interested $\square$ Not at all Interested



## Key Findings- Community Leaders Focus Group

The Center for Marketing and Opinion Research conducted a focus group of 19 area community leaders to explore community health needs and opportunities to better meet those needs in the future. The agencies represented in the group included city government, the United Way, Trinity Hospital Twin City, local schools, the Department of Job and Family Services, First National Bank, YMCA, local churches, the Health Department, Red Cross, and the ADAMHS Board.

## COMMUNITY HEALTH NEEDS

Participants identified important health needs facing the community. The following needs were mentioned:
$\checkmark$ The high cost of health insurance premiums and healthcare services, in general
$\checkmark$ The ability to find a doctor that will accept new patients, especially the uninsured population
$\checkmark$ The high prevalence of diabetes and obesity, which can be associated with higher heath care costs. One participant mentioned that if Tuscarawas County were a state, it would be the second fattest in the country.
$\checkmark$ Lack of specialist services available in the immediate area such as Endocrinologists and Child Psychiatristspeople who need these services must go outside the local area
$\checkmark$ Home health care services for the elderly who are not on public assistance and those under age 60 who cannot afford to pay for the services out of pocket
$\checkmark$ Dental care for low income individuals
$\checkmark$ Rehabilitation and detox services

In terms of the strengths of the healthcare services available in the area, the following strengths were mentioned:
$\checkmark$ The area is designated as a doctor shortage area, which can be used as a recruitment tool (an opportunity)
$\checkmark$ The hospital facility itself it really nice and new
$\checkmark$ The hospital's Fit for Life program
$\checkmark$ Transportation resources in the community
$\checkmark$ Dedicated professionals within organizations and their ability to and interest in working together. Folks come together to rally around needs.

Specific to Trinity Hospital Twin City, the participants offered the following strengths:
$\checkmark$ The Facility
$\checkmark$ Making specialists available one day a week at the hospital
$\checkmark$ Dedicated staff with real expertise
$\checkmark$ Providing lab work to free clinic

## IDENTIFIED COMMUNITY NEEDS

In a recent community survey and analysis of public data available several areas of community health needs were identified, Access to healthcare and healthy behaviors. The next part of the discussion focused on these two areas in more detail.

In terms of access to healthcare, the identified issues and barriers related to access to health care affecting the community included the following:
$\checkmark$ The high costs
$\checkmark$ Transportation limitations due to area's large rural base, especially when patients have to go outside the area
$\checkmark$ High rate of poverty
$\checkmark$ When the healthcare provider doesn't accept medical card
Participants offered the following suggestions or recommendations to help improve access to healthcare:
$\checkmark$ Having more Nurse Practitioners to help alleviate the burden on PCPs and increase the Nurse Practitioner's capacity to treat patients.
$\checkmark$ Educating the public on what is available in the community through outreach, awareness and education
Specific to if there are enough primary care physicians and medical specialists in the community, the participants offered the following feedback:
$\checkmark$ There are not enough Primary Care Physicians in the area, particularly for the medically underserved. In addition, there is a need for PCPs to offer extended hours so that people who work, but cannot take time off, can have access to their PCP without having to go to an Urgent Care Center or ER for medical care.
$\checkmark$ It is difficult to schedule appointments with PCPs and specialists in a reasonable time period, especially for new patients. In addition, a lot of PCPs are closed to new patients.
$\checkmark$ There are enough dentists in the area, although many lack insurance.
$\checkmark$ Specific specialists that are needed in the area include: Child psychiatrists, Endocrinologists, Renal Doctors, Cardiologists, Mental Health Specialists, Pediatrics, and Oncologists.
$\checkmark$ Although the hospital brings specialists to the area on a weekly basis, there is an issue when the patients move to the next level of needing treatment. At that point, the cost of transportation and a place to stay outside of the county become barriers.

In terms of retaining and attracting doctors \& specialists, participants had several recommendations including:
$\checkmark$ Offices need to be more aggressive with their recruiting
$\checkmark$ Telemedicine would help. It would allow many residents to have access to medical specialists without having to have them physically in the area or having the patient have to travel outside the county to receive service.
$\checkmark$ In terms of attracting PCPs, one issue is that there are not enough affordable places to rent for prospective doctors. In addition, there needs to be more green space and recreational opportunities to help the prospective doctors with their need for a work-life balance.
$\checkmark$ The participants also mentioned that there is a continuity issue with retaining doctors- they don't stay for a really long time; that they don't connect with the community in a way that makes them want to stay.

In terms of healthy behaviors, the identified issues and barriers related to being healthy and making healthy choices included the following:
$\checkmark$ There are a lot of programs and resources available, but there is just not a lot of interest in the program or the programs are not available at a time that is convenient to the residents. An example that was given was a program at a local church that focused on how to make healthy meals-no one came.
$\checkmark$ Making unhealthy choices is easier and it is too much of a challenge to change behavior
$\checkmark$ The mentality of the area residents: participants felt that residents are just not in the right mindset to make the changes- residents have to want to make the changes.

The participants offered the following suggestions and recommendation in terms of helping residents make more healthy choices:
$\checkmark$ Have to make the healthy choice the default choice.
$\checkmark$ Need a community that provides more healthy options that are readily available- more walking and biking trails. There are no sidewalks in the area.
$\checkmark$ Have to start to make the changes early, start with the children, so it becomes a way of life for them
$\checkmark$ The hospital's Fit for Life Program is very effective
$\checkmark$ The hospital having a dietician available to patients has been very useful
$\checkmark$ Healthy Tusc has been working with area restaurants to make endorsed/healthy options and restaurants are starting to list nutritional information
$\checkmark$ The participants felt that they needed to start thinking more outside of the box about programs-like going to where people are in addition to the programs at the churches/hospitals.

## EMERGING COMMUNITY NEEDS

Participants offered the following as emerging healthcare needs in the next few years:
$\checkmark$ A rise of addiction to prescription drugs
$\checkmark$ The oil and gas business is going to bring a lot of new issues including more people and more vehicles. They felt that we haven't even started to touch the impact yet
$\checkmark$ Rising number of people under 60 - too young to get services, but can't pay for it
$\checkmark$ More and more stressed out kids at a younger age
$\checkmark$ Rise in STD rates and young parents

## PRIORITIZING CONCERNS

During the final segment of the conversation, participants were asked to vote for their top two priority areas:

1. Cost of health insurance premiums and healthcare in general
2. The need for more Primary Care Physicians in the community
3. The mentality of area residents in terms of their attitude towards healthy behaviors
4. Mental Health related needs

It was discussed that the areas in which the hospital could have the most impact was on Primary Care Physicians and access to healthcare and on the mindset of residents towards healthy behavior.


## Key Findings- Secondary Data

## General Health

Self-described Health


Source:

- Data for Stark County is from the 2011 Stark County Community Health Needs Assessment
- Data from Trinity Hospital CHNA is from the Community Survey of the hospital's service area.


## Health Care Access



| Where Receive Healthcare | Trinity Service <br> Area, 2012 | Stark 2011 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Family doctor | $76.3 \%$ | $71.4 \%$ |
| Emergency Room | $6.3 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ |
| Urgent Care center | $2.5 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ |
| Hospital clinic | $7.6 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ |
| Public health department | $0.8 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| VA hospital/clinic | $3.5 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| Free clinic | $0.8 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ |
| Other | $2.3 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
|  | $\mathrm{~N}=396$ | $\mathrm{~N}=1,061$ |

Source:

- Data for Stark County is from the 2011 Stark County Community Health Needs Assessment
- Data from Trinity Hospital CHNA is from the Community Survey of the hospital's service area.


## Currently Has Health Insurance



Source:

- Data for Stark County is from the 2011 Stark County Community Health Needs Assessment
- Data from Trinity Hospital CHNA is from the Community Survey of the hospital's service area.
- Data from 2004 Tuscarawas County is from the Healthy Ohio Program through the Ohio Dept. of Health


## High Cholesterol

## Been Diagnosed with High Cholesteral



Source:

- Data from Trinity Hospital CHNA is from the Community Survey of the hospital's service area.
- It includes the respondent or a member of their immediate family.
- Data from 2004-2007 Tuscarawas County is from the Healthy Ohio Program through the Ohio Department of Health
- Ohio data from the Ohio Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System.

Had Cholesterol Checked in Past 5 Years


| Had Check | \% of adults |
| :--- | :---: |
| Trinity Service Area, 2012 | $80.9 \%$ |
| Eastern Ohio Region, 2010 | $79.3 \%$ |
| Tuscarawas County, 2008 | $41.7 \%$ |
| Ohio, 2008 | $73.2 \%$ |
| U.S., 2010 | $77.0 \%$ |
|  |  |

Source:

- Data from Trinity Hospital CHNA is from the Community Survey of the hospital's service area.
- 2008 Data from the Healthy Ohio Program through the Ohio Department of Health
- Ohio Data from the Ohio Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
- Data from the Eastern Ohio Region and the National Rate is from the Regional Health Needs Assessment Project Ohio's Critical Access Hospital funded by Ohio Department of Health's Rural Hospital Flex Program.


## Heart Disease

## Been Diagnosed with Heart Disease



Source:

- Data from Trinity Hospital CHNA is from the Community Survey of the hospital's service area. It includes the respondent or a member of their immediate family.
- Data from 2004-2007 Tuscarawas County is from the Healthy Ohio Program through the Ohio Department of Health
- Ohio data from the Ohio Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
- Data from the Eastern Ohio Region and the National Rate is from the Regional Health Needs Assessment Project Ohio's Critical Access Hospital funded by Ohio Department of Health's Rural Hospital Flex Program.

Adult Mortality Rate from Heart Disease, 2004-2007


| Rate of Heart Disease | Rate per <br> 100,000 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Tuscarawas County, 2004-2007 | 222.0 |
| Eastern Ohio Region, 2006-2008 | 305.8 |
| Ohio, 2006-2008 | 238.1 |
| U.S., 2004-2007 | 210.3 |
|  |  |

Source:

- Tuscarawas County Data from the Healthy Ohio Program through the Ohio Department of Health
- U.S. rates from CDC's National Vital Statistics reports.
- Data from the Eastern Ohio Region and Ohio is from the Regional Health Needs Assessment Project Ohio's Critical Access Hospital funded by Ohio Department of Health's Rural Hospital Flex Program.


## High Blood Pressure

## Been Diagnosed with High Blood Pressure



| Been diagnosed with High Blood Pressure | Trinity Service Area, 2012* | Eastern Ohio <br> Region, 2010 | Tuscarawas, 2004-2007 | Ohio, 2008 | U.S., 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 56.4\% | 33.6\% | 34.6\% | 27.6\% | 28.7\% |
| No | 43.6\% | 66.4\% | 65.4\% | 72.4\% | 71.3\% |
| Total | $\mathrm{N}=400$ |  |  |  |  |

Source:

- Data from Trinity Hospital CHNA is from the Community Survey of the hospital's service area. It includes the respondent or a member of their immediate family.
- Data from 2004-2007 Tuscarawas County is from the Healthy Ohio Program through the Ohio Department of Health
- Ohio data from the Ohio Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
- Data from the Eastern Ohio Region and Ohio is from the Regional Health Needs Assessment Project Ohio's Critical Access Hospital funded by Ohio Department of Health's Rural Hospital Flex Program.

Adult Mortality Rate from Stroke, 2008


| Rate of Stroke Death | Rate per <br> 100,000 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Tuscarawas County | 50.7 |
| Eastern Ohio Region | 55.4 |
| Ohio | 50.2 |
| U.S. | 46.6 |
|  |  |

Source:

- Data from the Healthy Ohio Program through the Ohio Department of Health.
- Death rates for the County is from the Statistical Analyses Unit, Office of Vital Statistics, Ohio Department of Health
- U.S. rates from CDC's National Vital Statistics reports
- Data from the Eastern Ohio Region and Ohio is from the Regional Health Needs Assessment Project Ohio's Critical Access Hospital funded by Ohio Department of Health's Rural Hospital Flex Program.


## Been Diagnosed with Diabetes



Source:

- Data from Trinity Hospital CHNA is from the Community Survey of the hospital's service area. It includes the respondent or a member of their immediate family.
- Data from 2004-2007 Tuscarawas County is from the Healthy Ohio Program through the Ohio Department of Health.
- Data from the Eastern Ohio Region and the National Rate is from the Regional Health Needs Assessment Project Ohio's Critical Access Hospital funded by Ohio Department of Health's Rural Hospital Flex Program.

Adult Mortality Rate from Diabetes, 2008


Source:

- Data from the Healthy Ohio Program through the Ohio Department of Health.
- U.S. rates from CDC's National Vital Statistics reports.
- Data from the Eastern Ohio Region and the National Rate is from the Regional Health Needs Assessment Project Ohio's Critical Access Hospital funded by Ohio Department of Health's Rural Hospital Flex Program.


## Cancer

Adult Mortality Rate from Cancer, 2008


\left.| Rate of Cancer | Rate per |
| :--- | :---: |
| 100,000 |  |$\right]$ 186.5 $|$| Tuscarawas County | 244.9 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Eastern Ohio Region | 183.8 |
| Ohio |  |
| U.S. |  |
|  |  |

Source:

- Data from the Healthy Ohio Program through the Ohio Department of Health
- Death rates for Ohio and County are from the Statistical Analyses Unit, Office of Vital Statistics, Ohio Department of Health
- U.S. rates from CDC's National Vital Statistics reports
- Data from the Eastern Ohio Region and the National Rate is from the Regional Health Needs Assessment Project Ohio's Critical Access Hospital funded by Ohio Department of Health's Rural Hospital Flex Program.

Cancer Related Tests


| Had Check | Trinity Service <br> Area, 2012 | Eastern Ohio <br> Region, 2010 | U.S., 2010 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Women (40+) with no <br> mammogram in last 2 years | $27.5 \%$ | $25.7 \%$ | $24.4 \%$ |
| Women (50+) with no <br> mammogram in last 2 years | $26.9 \%$ | $23.1 \%$ | $22.1 \%$ |
| Adults (50+) who have never <br> had a colonoscopy | $39.3 \%$ | $35.1 \%$ | $34.7 \%$ |
| Men (40+) who have not had <br> a PSA test in past 2 years | $47.4 \%$ | $43.3 \%$ | $46.7 \%$ |

Source:

- Data from Trinity Hospital CHNA is from the Community Survey of the hospital's service area.
- Data from the Eastern Ohio Region and the National Rate is from the Regional Health Needs Assessment Project Ohio's Critical Access Hospital funded by Ohio Department of Health's Rural Hospital Flex Program.

Cancer Mortality by Type/Site, 2006 to 2008

| Type/Site of Cancer | Region |  | Ohio Rate per |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Rate per <br> 100,000 |  |
| Lung, Trachea and Bronchus | 1142 | 71.7 | 64.6 |
| Colon, Rectum, and Anus | 420 | 26.4 | 21.1 |
| Breast (female) | 254 | 31.4 | 31.4 |
| Pancreas | 218 | 13.7 | 12.9 |
| Prostate | 185 | 23.6 | 21.5 |
| Leukemia | 161 | 10.1 | 8.3 |
| Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma | 134 | 8.4 | 8.0 |
| Esophagus | 106 | 6.7 | 5.8 |
| Bladder | 95 | 6.0 | 5.6 |
| Kidney | 92 | 5.8 | 5.0 |
| Other | 1092 | 68.5 | 59.9 |
|  |  |  |  |

Data is from the Regional Health Needs Assessment Project Ohio's Critical Access Hospital funded by Ohio Department of Health's Rural Hospital Flex Program.

## Exercise, Obesity and Health Lifestyle Choices

How Often Exercise in Average Week


| How Often Exercise | Trinity Service <br> Area, 2012 | Stark 2011 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Not at all | $28.0 \%$ | $15.7 \%$ |
| Once in awhile | $10.8 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ |
| 1-2 times | $18.3 \%$ | $20.2 \%$ |
| 3-4 times | $21.8 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ |
| 5-7 times | $21.3 \%$ | $23.5 \%$ |
|  | $\mathrm{~N}=400$ | $\mathrm{~N}=1,065$ |

Source:

- Data for Stark County CHNA is from the 2011 Stark County Community Health Needs Assessment
- Data from Trinity Hospital CHNA is from the Community Survey of the hospital's service area.

Self-described Weight


| Self-described <br> Weight | Tuscarawas, <br> 2008 | Trinity <br> Service Area, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | Eastern Ohio <br> Region <br> Adults, 2012 | Eastern Ohio <br> Region Youth <br> $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 7 , 2 0 1 2 ~}$ | Stark, 2011 | Ohio, 2010 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overweight | $63.4 \%^{*}$ | $51.1 \%$ | $66 \%^{*}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 \% *}$ | $44.1 \%$ | $63.7 \%$ |
| About right |  | $43.6 \%$ |  |  | $51.2 \%$ |  |
| Underweight |  | $5.3 \%$ |  |  | $4.6 \%$ |  |
| Total |  | $\mathrm{N}=399$ |  |  | $\mathrm{~N}=1,065$ |  |

*Combines obese and overweight
Source:

- Data for Stark County is from the 2011 Stark County Community Health Needs Assessment
- Data from Trinity Hospital CHNA is from the Community Survey of the hospital's service area.
- Data from 2008 Tuscarawas County is from the Health Ohio Project from the Ohio Department of Health.
- Data from the Eastern Ohio Region and Ohio is from the Regional Health Needs Assessment Project Ohio's Critical Access Hospital funded by Ohio Department of Health's Rural Hospital Flex Program.

Tried to Lose Weight in Last 12 Months


Source:

- Data for Stark County is from the 2011 Stark County Community Health Needs Assessment
- Data from Trinity Hospital CHNA is from the Community Survey of the hospital's service area.


## Tobacco Use

## Tobacco Use



| Tobacco Use | Tuscarawas, <br> 2008 | Trinity Service <br> Area, 2012 | Stark, 2011 | Eastern Ohio <br> Region, 2010 | Ohio, 2010 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Every day | $29.6 \%^{*}$ | $27.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $21.8 \%$ | $17.3 \%$ |
| Some days |  | $4.8 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ |  |  |
| Not at all | $70.4 \%$ | $68.3 \%$ | $72.1 \%$ | $78.2 \%$ | $82.7 \%$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{N}=400$ | $\mathrm{~N}=1,066$ |  |  |  |

Source:

- Data for Stark County is from the 2011 Stark County Community Health Needs Assessment
- Data from Trinity Hospital CHNA is from the Community Survey of the hospital's service area.
- Data from 2008 Tuscarawas County is from the Health Ohio Project from the Ohio Department of Health. Note: The 2008 data combined every day and someday smokers.
- Ohio data from the Ohio Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, ODH.


## Insurance Coverage

Insurance Coverage


| Insurance Coverage | Trinity Service <br> Area, 2012 | Eastern Ohio <br> Region, 2012 | Stark, 2011 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Not insured | $14.3 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ |
| Privately insured | $55.3 \%$ | $51.2 \%$ | $60.5 \%$ |
| Medicare or Medicaid | $28.4 \%$ | $35.6 \%$ | $26.3 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathrm{N}=398$ |  | $\mathrm{~N}=1038$ |

Source:

- Data for Stark County is from the 2011 Stark County Community Health Needs Assessment
- Data from Trinity Hospital CHNA is from the Community Survey of the hospital's service area.
- Data from the Eastern Ohio Region is from the Regional Health Needs Assessment Project Ohio's Critical Access Hospital funded by Ohio Department of Health's Rural Hospital Flex Program.


## Dental Care and Access

Oral Health Care Access


|  | Less than 18 | $18-64$ years | $65+$ years old |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% with a dental visit in past year | $77.0 \%$ | $55.0 \%$ | $53.7 \%$ |
| \% who have never visited a dentist | $15.2 \%$ | NA | NA |
| \% uninsured for dental care | $23.6 \%$ | $42.7 \%$ | $65.3 \%$ |
| \% who could not receive needed care | $3.6 \%$ | $12.9 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ |
| Total |  |  |  |

Source:

- Data from Ohio Oral Health Surveillance System, 2010


## Birth and Death Data

Live Birth Rate


| Tuscarawas |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2004 | 64.9 | 62.9 |
| 2005 | 68.4 | 63.2 |
| 2006 | 67.6 | 64.8 |
| 2007 | 68.2 | 65.4 |
| 2008 | 72.8 | 65.0 |

Source: Ohio Department of Health

|  | Less than 15 | $15-17$ | $18-19$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2004 | 0.7 | 10.5 | 108.3 |
| 2005 | 0.3 | 17.4 | 96.4 |
| 2006 | 0.0 | 11.9 | 103.3 |
| 2007 | 0.3 | 11.2 | 96.4 |
| 2008 | 0.4 | 12.6 | 108.3 |

Tuscarawas Adolescent Birth Rate


Source: Ohio Department of Health

## Tuscarawas Birth Weights



|  | VLBW | LBW |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2004 | $1.2 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ |
| 2005 | $1.6 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ |
| 2006 | $1.6 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ |
| 2007 | $1.5 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ |
| 2008 | $1.5 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ |

Source: Ohio Department of Health

$\left.$|  | Very pre- <br> term | Pre- <br> term |  | Term |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | Post- |
| :---: |
| term | \right\rvert\,



Source: Ohio Department of Health


| Trimester | First | Second | Third |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unknown |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | $82.8 \%$ | $14.8 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| 2005 | $81.7 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| 2006 | $68.9 \%$ | $27.9 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| 2007 | $60.1 \%$ | $35.2 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |
| 2008 | $62.8 \%$ | $32.2 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ |

Source: Ohio Department of Health

| Rate per <br> 1,000 live <br> births | Tuscarawas | Ohio |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2004 | 2.6 | 7.7 |
| 2005 | 5.0 | 8.3 |
| 2006 | 8.6 | 7.8 |
| 2007 | 6.0 | 7.7 |
| 2008 | 3.2 | 7.7 |

Infant Mortality Rate


Source: Ohio Department of Health

## Resident Deaths



| Rate per <br> 100,000 people | Tuscarawas |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | Ohio.

Source: Ohio Department of Health

## Tuscarawas Resident Death by

## Gender



| Rate per 100,000 <br> people | Male | Female |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2004 | 964.0 | 635.7 |
| 2005 | $1,000.4$ | 677.5 |
| 2006 | 926.9 | 668.3 |
| 2007 | 932.3 | 674.9 |
| 2008 | 952.8 | 619.2 |

Source: Ohio Department of Health

Tuscarawas Resident Death by Age


|  | <1 year | 1 to 14 | $\begin{gathered} 15 \text { to } \\ 24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25 \text { to } \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \text { to } \\ 44 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45 \text { to } \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 55 to 64 | $\begin{gathered} 65 \text { to } \\ 74 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75 \text { to } \\ 84 \end{gathered}$ | 85+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2004 | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 1.4\% | 1.0\% | 2.1\% | 5.4\% | 11.3\% | 16.0\% | 33.0\% | 29.4\% |
| 2005 | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% | 1.1\% | 1.8\% | 5.6\% | 10.2\% | 17.2\% | 29.0\% | 33.4\% |
| 2006 | 1.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 1.1\% | 2.5\% | 6.2\% | 9.7\% | 14.6\% | 29.6\% | 34.0\% |
| 2007 | 0.7\% | 0.2\% | 0.9\% | 0.4\% | 1.6\% | 5.9\% | 11.0\% | 17.6\% | 30.8\% | 30.9\% |
| 2008 | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.6\% | 5.7\% | 11.5\% | 16.0\% | 27.5\% | 35.2\% |

Source: Ohio Department of Health

## Tuscarawas Leading Causes of Death



| Rate per $100,000$ | Heart Disease | Malignant Neoplasms | Chronic Lower Resp Diseases | Cerebrovascular Disease | Accidents | Alzheimer's Disease | Diabetes | Flu and Pneumonia | Nephritis, Nephritic Syndrome \& Nephritis | Septicemia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000-2002 | 266.1 | 187.6 | 51.4 | 59.7 | 33.2 | 16.7 | 37.4 | 30.8 | 15.8 | 9.9 |
| 2003-2005 | 225.5 | 193.6 | 45.2 | 56.4 | 28.3 | 20.7 | 26.5 | 22.1 | 11.6 | 11.9 |
| 2006-2008 | 212.8 | 171.5 | 48.0 | 42.4 | 38.1 | 26.0 | 35.0 | 17.5 | 14.2 | 9.0 |

Source: Ohio Department of Health


Source: Ohio Department of Health

| Rate per <br> 100,000 people |  | Tuscarawas |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | Ohio

Suicide Rates


Source: Ohio Department of Health

Suicide Rate by Age


Source: Ohio Department of Health

| Rate per 100,000 <br> people | $\mathbf{1 5 - 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 - 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 - 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 - 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 - 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 - 8 4}$ | $85+$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2000-2002$ | 11.5 | 15 | 16.7 | 15.1 | 22.5 | 9.6 | 26.7 | 0.0 |
| $2003-2005$ | 5.8 | 2.9 | 7.9 | 7.2 | 27 | 14.6 | 12.8 | 0.0 |
| $2006-2008$ | 12.8 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 11.9 | 12.4 | 18.6 | 12.8 | 0.0 |

Suicide Rate by Gender


Causes of Adult Mortality, 2006 to 2008


| Rate per 100,000 people | Eastern <br> Ohio <br> Region |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Ohio |  |  |
| Heart disease | 305.8 | 238.1 |
| Cancer | 244.9 | 217.9 |
| Chronic Lower Respiratory Diseases | 71.3 | 56.3 |
| Cerebrovascular Disease (Stroke) | 55.4 | 50.2 |
| Diabetes Mellitus | 48.6 | 32.0 |
| Accidents and Unintentional Injuries | 45.9 | 42.5 |
| Alzheimer's Disease | 28.8 | 33.4 |
| Influenza and Pneumonia | 24.8 | 16.8 |
| Kidney Disease | 17.9 | 15.4 |
| Infections of the Blood | 15.0 | 11.4 |
| Total (including leading and other causes of death | 1090.8 | 936.2 |

## Appendix- Service Area Demographics



| Age | \# of Responses | \% of Sample |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 to 24 | 23 | $5.8 \%$ |
| 25 to 34 | 47 | $11.9 \%$ |
| 35 to 44 | 63 | $15.9 \%$ |
| 45 to 54 | 78 | $19.7 \%$ |
| 55 to 64 | 97 | $24.5 \%$ |
| 65 and over | 88 | $22.2 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathrm{N}=396$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

Gender
■ Male $\quad$ Female

| Gender | \# of Responses | \% of Sample |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 178 | $44.5 \%$ |
| Female | 222 | $55.5 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathrm{N}=400$ | $100.0 \%$ |



## Marital Status



| Marital Status | \# of Responses | \% of Sample |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Single, never married | 53 | $13.4 \%$ |
| Divorced | 51 | $12.9 \%$ |
| Separated | 4 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Widowed | 43 | $10.9 \%$ |
| Married | 244 | $61.8 \%$ |
|  | $\mathrm{~N}=395$ | $100.0 \%$ |


| Education Attainment | \# of Responses | \% of Sample |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Grade School | 8 | $2.0 \%$ |
| Some High School | 28 | $7.1 \%$ |
| High School Graduate | 171 | $43.1 \%$ |
| Some College/Associate's | 109 | $27.5 \%$ |
| College Graduate | 58 | $14.6 \%$ |
| Post Graduate Degree | 23 | $5.8 \%$ |
|  | $\mathrm{~N}=397$ | $100.0 \%$ |



## Children in Household

$\square$ Yes $\square$ No


| Children in <br> Household | \# of <br> Responses | \% of Sample |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 118 | $29.6 \%$ |
| No | 281 | $70.4 \%$ |
|  | Total | $\mathrm{N}=399$ |
| $100.0 \%$ |  |  |

Annual Household Income

| Annual Household <br> Income | \# of Responses | \% of Sample |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$25,000 | 97 | $26.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 25-\$ 49,999$ | 144 | $39.3 \%$ |
| $\$ 50-\$ 74,999$ | 70 | $19.1 \%$ |
| $\$ 75-\$ 99,999$ | 31 | $8.5 \%$ |
| Over \$100,000 | 24 | $6.6 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{N}=366$ | $100.0 \%$ |



## Employment Status



| Zip code | \# of Responses | \% of Responses |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 44663 | 90 | $22.5 \%$ |
| 44683 | 85 | $21.3 \%$ |
| 44621 | 59 | $14.8 \%$ |
| 44629 | 35 | $8.8 \%$ |
| 43973 | 30 | $7.5 \%$ |
| 43988 | 30 | $7.5 \%$ |
| 44695 | 30 | $7.5 \%$ |
| 43837 | 16 | $4.0 \%$ |
| 44699 | 14 | $3.5 \%$ |
| 44653 | 6 | $1.5 \%$ |
| 44682 | 5 | $1.3 \%$ |
|  | 400 | $(n=400)$ |

## Appendix- Survey Instrument

Hello....This is.... calling from CMO Research. We are conducting a brief study about the health needs of residents in your area. This should take less than 10 minutes and all your answers will remain confidential. The survey is voluntary, but we would really appreciate your cooperation.

1. This first set of questions focuses on the health needs in your community.

First, What do you think is the MOST important HEALTHCARE issue facing your community?
2. For each of the following health care programs or services, please tell me if you think it is very important, somewhat important or not at all important to have the service available in your community.
a. Cholesterol Checks
b. Blood Sugar Checks
c. Smoking Cessation Programs
d. Weight Loss Programs
e. Urology or Bladder or Prostate Health
f. Endocrinology or Care for Diabetes
3. If a local hospital provided free one-hour seminars on various health related topics, how interested would you be in attending the seminar if the topic were of interest to you? Very interested, somewhat interested, or not at all interested?

IF INTERESTED: What health related topics would you be MOST interested in?
4. Turning now to another topic...Generally, how would you describe your health... excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?
5. Has a doctor, nurse, or other health professional EVER told you or a member of your immediate family that you had any of the following?
a. First... Diabetes
b. Heart Disease
c. Respiratory conditions such as asthma, emphysema or COPD
d. High Cholesterol
e. High Blood Pressure
f. A mental health condition such as anxiety or depression
6. (FEMALES) Have you ever had a mammogram?

IF YES: How long has it been since your last mammogram?
7. (MALES) Have you ever had a PSA test, for prostate cancer? IF YES: How long has it been since your last PSA test?
8. Have you ever had a colonoscopy?

IF YES: How long has it been since your last one?
9. Have you ever had an exam to check for potential skin cancer? IF YES: How long has it been since your last exam or check?
10. Have you ever had your blood cholesterol checked? IF YES: How long has it been since you last had your blood cholesterol checked?
11. When you are need of health care, where do you receive it MOST often?
12. Do you have one person or group you think of as your doctor or healthcare provider?
13. About how long has it been since you last visited a doctor for a routine checkup? A routine checkup is a general physical exam, not an exam for a specific injury, illness, or condition.
14. Were there any healthcare services that you or a family member needed in the past 2 years that you were unable to get?
IF YES: What was it that you needed? AND- Why were you unable to get the needed service?
15. In the past two years, have you or a family member needed to see a specialist or doctor that you were unable to find locally or had to wait more than 30 days to schedule an appointment? IF YES: What type of specialist or doctor was it?
16. During the past month, other than your regular job, did you participate in any physical activity or exercise such as walking, running, lifting weights, team sports, golf, or gardening for exercise?
17. How often do you exercise in an average week? Not at all, 1 to 2 times, 3 to 4 times, 5 to 7 times, or every once in a while?
18. How would you describe your own personal weight situation right now... Very overweight, somewhat overweight, about right, somewhat underweight, or very underweight?
19. During the past 12 months, have you thought about or tried to lose weight?
20. Do you smoke cigarettes or use tobacco products every day, some days, or not at all?
21. How interested would you be in attending a smoking cessation program at a local hospital? Would you say very interested, somewhat interested, or not at all interested?
22. In what year were you born?
23. Are there any children under the age of 18 residing in your home?
24. Do you currently have health insurance?
25. Which of the following categories best describes your current health insurance plan?

READ LIST
(1) Employer paid
(2) Private insurance
(3) Medicare or Medicaid
26. What is the highest grade of school or year of college you have completed?
27. Is the total yearly income for your family ...before taxes, under..or over \$50,000.
28. What is your PRESENT marital status . . .Single- never married, divorced, separated, widowed, or married?
29. Are you currently employed?
30. What is the zip code at your place of employment?

Thank you very much for your time and cooperation. That concludes our interview. For quality control purposes, someone from CMO Research may call your household to verify the completion of this survey.
[RECORD RESPONDENT GENDER]
(1) Male
(2) Female

## About CMOR. . . . .

The Center for Marketing \& Opinion Research provides public opinion research services to colleges and universities, hospitals and healthcare organizations, and communitybased organizations and government agencies. We measure what matters using telephone, web and mail surveys, field, intercept and key informant interviews, focus group administration, as well as a wide range of consulting services.

CMOR understands that a "one-size-fits-all" approach to research is typically not in its clients' best interest. Instead, we prefer to build authentic, long-term partnerships with clients based on quality and mutual goals. CMOR serves as the INsourced research department for its clients, with a tradition of excellence and exceeding expectations. Our team is committed to staying current with the best practices of the public opinion research industry to ensure that the data collected is both reliable and statistically valid.

At CMOR, all data is collected on-site allowing us to oversee the quality of the data that is being collected as well as monitor the cost, giving our clients the most value for their investment. CMOR houses a 24 -station CATI lab as well as two focus groups rooms.

Our relationship with our clients does not end when the project is finished. If you have a question about your report or need further interpretation of data 3 or 6 months down the road, we remain available to you; we are happy to help.


## LET CMOR MEASURE WHAT MATTERS TO YOU

## Contact Information

The Center for Marketing and Opinion Research
470 Portage Lakes Drive, Ste. 102
Akron, OH 44319
Phone: 1-888-878-5875
Fax: 330-645-6750

Michelle Henry, President
330-564-4211 or 330-412-9097
smhenry@cmoresearch.com
Amanda Barna, Vice President
330-564-4211 or 330-607-3968
abarna@cmoresearch.com


[^0]:    Center for Marketing \& Opinion Research, LLC

[^1]:    *Denotes a Statistically Significant Relationship

